Institut for Matematik og Datalogi Syddansk Universitet February 11, 2022 JBJ

# DM867 - Spring 2022 – Weekly Note 3

#### Stuff covered in week 6

I gave an overview of Sections 3.1-3.5 in BJG. After this and watching the videos on flows, you should be ready to work with flows. I covered matching in bipartite graphs and showed how to find a maximum matching via flows. I then used the max flow min cut theorem to prove the theorems of Hall and König.

#### Lecture February 14, 2022:

- I will give a proof of Menger's Theorem based on flows and well as a proof using submodularity. See BJG 7.3.
- More on Matroids (circuits, rank function, dual matroid). PS 12.4 and SCH 10.1-10.2 and the notes below.
- Weighted bipartite matching. SCH 3.5 matching in a graph which is not bipartite.

#### Exercises February 17. 2022:

NB! There is a chance that this class will be replaced by a video lecture on max-back orderings. If that happens, we will discuss the exercises in week 8.

- SCH application 1.4.
- SCH application 1.7.
- Suppose you are given a connected undirected graph G = (V, E) with costs on the edges and your task is to give an algorithm which finds a minimum cost set of  $E' \subset E$  edges whose removal disconnects the graph (that is G E' is not connected). Explain how to do this in polynomial time (hint: use flows).
- SCH exercise 3.2. Hint for (i): you may either consider a maximal matching, apply Hall's theorem or use the integrality theorem for flows (as I did at the lecture in Week 6).
- Give an example of a graph G with  $\nu(G) < \tau(G)$ . Argue that for every graph G we have  $\tau(G) \leq 2\nu(G)$ . Suggest a polynomial algorithm for finding a vertex cover of size at most  $2\tau(G)$  in a given graph G.

- Prove that if a graph is 2-connected (that is, there are at least two internally disjoint (s,t)-paths for every choice of distinct vertices  $s,t \in V(G)$ ), then for every vertex s and edge uv of G there is a cycle C which contains s and the edge uv.
- Show that a graph G has a strongly connected orientation (we replace each edge uv by one of the arcs  $u \to v, v \to u$ ) if and only if G is 2-edge-connected. Also describe an algorithm to find such an orientation or a bad cut.
- SCH Exercise 4.1.
- SCH application 4.1 be ready to discuss this in the class.
- Suppose you have a 8 by 8 chess board and dominos of size 1 by 2.
  - (a) Show that you can cover the chess board by non-overlapping dominos.
  - (b) Now suppose that we delete two diagonally opposite cornes of the chess board ((1,1) and (8,8)). Show that the new chessboard cannot be covered by nonoverlapping dominos. Hint: make a suitable bipartite graph and consider matchings in this.

### Notes on matroids

Recall that a **base** of a matroid  $M = (S, \mathcal{F})$  is a maximal independent set of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem 0.1 (Base axioms)** The set  $\mathcal{B}$  bases of a matroid  $M = (S, \mathcal{F})$  with  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$  satisfy the following axioms:

(B1)  $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$ 

(B2)  $|B_1| = |B_2|$  for all  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ .

(B3) If  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $x \in B_1$  then there exists  $y \in B_2$  such that  $B_1 - x + y \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Proof:** It is clear that the bases of M satisfy (B1) and (B2) and (B3) is a special case of the exchange axiom (consider  $B_1 - x$  and  $B_2$ ).

The base axioms also define the set of all matroids of a set.

**Proposition 0.2** Let S be a set and let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^S$  be a collection of subsets of S which satisfies (B1)-(B3). Define  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{X \subseteq S | \exists B \in \mathcal{B} : X \subseteq B\}$ . Then  $M_{\mathcal{B}} = (S, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}})$  is a matorid.

**Proof:** Clearly  $M_{\mathcal{B}}$  is s subset system so we just need to show that the exchange axiom holds for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$  with |Y| = |X| + 1 and let  $B_X, B_Y$  be elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $X \subseteq B_X$  and  $Y \subseteq B_Y$ . Applying (B3) repeatedly we can delete the elements of  $B_X - X$  one by one while adding a new element from  $B_Y - B_X$  each time. Since  $|B_X - X| = |B_Y - Y| + 1$ at some point in this process we have a base  $B'_X$  containing X such that the only element of  $B_Y - B'_X$  that we can add to  $B'_X - w, w \notin X$ , is an element  $y \in Y - X$ . Now  $B'_X - w + y$ contains X + y so  $X + y \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ , showing that Y - X contains an element y such that X + yis independent.

**Definition 0.3 (dual matroid)** Let  $M = (S, \mathcal{F})$  be a matroid with base set  $\mathcal{B}$  and rank r(S) < |S|. Define  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{X | \exists B \in \mathcal{B} : X \cap B = \emptyset\}$ . Then  $M^* = (S, \mathcal{F}^*)$  is a matroid called the **dual matroid** of M.

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{B}^*$  be the set of bases of  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . We show that  $\mathcal{B}^*$  satisfies the base axioms and then it follows from Proposition 0.2 that  $M^*$  is a matroid. By definition of  $\mathcal{F}^*$ , all maximal independent subsets of S have the same size and since r(S) < |S| we have  $\mathcal{B}^* \neq \emptyset$  so it only remains to prove that (B3) holds. Let  $B_1^*, B_2^* \in \mathcal{B}^*$  and let  $x \in B_1^* - B_2^*$  be arbitrary. Note that  $(S - B_1^*) \cap (S - B_2^*) + x$  is a subset of  $S - B_2^*$  and hence is independent in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Apply the exchange axiom (in M) to the independent sets  $(S - B_1^*) \cap (S - B_2^*) + x$ and  $S - B_1^*$  until we have a new base Z of M. This will satisfy  $Z = (S - B_1^*) + x - z$ where  $z \in (S - B_1^*) \cap B_2^* \subset B_2^*$  so we have shown that we can find  $z \in B_2^*$  such that  $B_1^* - x + z \in \mathcal{B}^*$ .

## Finding a negative cycle in a digraph

**Theorem 0.4** Let D = (V, A) be a digraph with a special vertex s and let  $w : A \to \mathbf{R}$ be a weightfunction. Let  $D_{\pi}$  be the successor digraph that we maintain while running the Bellmann-Ford algorithm. Then  $D_{\pi}$  will contain a cycle no later than iteration n of the algorithm if and only if D contains a negative cycle reachable from s.

**Proof:** If D has a negative cycle C reachable from s, then it can be seen that  $D_{\pi}$  will contain a cycle no later than iteration k where k is the number of arcs on a shortest path from s to C plus the number of arcs in C. This is not a complete argument so you should try to make it more precise.

We prove the other direction below. Assume  $D_{\pi}$  is acyclic until iteration *i* and that a cycle C appears in iteration *i*. Consider the moment C appears and let  $C = v_1 v_2 \dots v_k v_1$  where we have just added  $v_k v_1$  to  $A(D_{\pi})$ .

Note that at any time during the algorithm (and no matter whether D has a negative cycle or not) we always have  $d(y) \ge d(x) + w(x, y)$  for every arc  $xy \in A(D_{\pi})$ . This is because  $d(\boldsymbol{x})$  may have changed again but  $d(\boldsymbol{y})$  has not.

Using that the arc  $v_k v_1$  was just added we obtain

$$d(v_{1}) \geq d(v_{k}) + w(v_{k}, v_{1})$$
  

$$\geq d(v_{k-1}) + w(v_{k-1}, v_{k}) + w(v_{k}, v_{1})$$
  
...  

$$\geq d(v_{1}) + w(C),$$

implying that w(C) < 0.

 $\diamond$