

Sequential search

procedure Search(List, TargetValue):

{ Input: List is a list; TargetValue is a possible entry }

{ Output: **success** if TargetValue in List; **failure** otherwise }

if (List empty)

then Output **failure**

else

TestEntry := 1st entry in List

while (TargetValue \neq TestEntry
and there are entries not considered)

(TestEntry := next entry in List)

if (TargetValue = TestEntry)

then Output **success**

else Output **failure**

Sequential search

Analysis:

- ▶ time
- ▶ **fundamental operation**
 - ▶ takes time
 - ▶ number of occurrences proportional to everything else that happens

Sequential search

Analysis:

| List | = n

How many **comparisons** are necessary in the worst case?

- A. 1
- B. $n - 1$
- C. n
- D. $n + 1$
- E. $2n$

Vote at m.socrative.com. Room number 415439.

Sequential search

Analysis:

$$| \text{List} | = n$$

How many **comparisons** are necessary in the worst case?

D. $n + 1$

This is $\Theta(n)$.

Sequential search

Analysis:

What does $\Theta(n)$ meant?

Need to define $O(n)$ too.

$g \in O(f)$ means $\exists c, d$ s.t. $g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n) + d$

$g \in \Theta(f)$ means $g \in O(f)$ and $f \in O(g)$.

Sequential search

Analysis:

$g \in O(f)$ means $\exists c, d$ s.t. $g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n) + d$

$g \in \Theta(f)$ means $g \in O(f)$ and $f \in O(g)$.

Examples:

- ▶ $2n + 3 \in \Theta(n)$
- ▶ $3 \log n \in \Theta(\log n)$
- ▶ $2n + 7 \log n \in \Theta(n)$
- ▶ $4 \log n + m \in \Theta(\log n)$ if $m \leq \log n$
- ▶ Can write $\Theta(\log n + m)$ if unsure which term is larger.

Sequential search

Analysis:

What is $n \log n - 1.4n + 15$?

- A. $O(n^2)$
- B. $O(n \log n)$
- C. $\Theta(n \log n)$
- D. all of the above
- E. none of the above

Vote at m.socrative.com. Room number 415439.

Sequential search — correctness

```
procedure Search(List, TargetValue):  
  if (List empty)  
    then Output failure  
  else  
    TestEntry := 1st entry in List  
    { precondition: TestEntry is 1st entry in List }  
    while (TargetValue  $\neq$  TestEntry  
           and there are entries not considered)  
      (TestEntry := next entry in List)  
    { loop invariant: TargetValue  $\neq$  any entry before TestEntry }  
    { postcondition: either TargetValue = TestEntry  
      or all entries considered and TargetValue not in List }  
    if (TargetValue = TestEntry)  
      then Output success  
      else Output failure
```


Sequential search — correctness

Assertions

- ▶ statements which can be proven to hold (induction)
- ▶ at different points in program
- ▶ examples: precondition, postcondition, loop invariant

Proof by induction on number of times through the loop:

Proof verification: automated?

Sequential search — correctness

Searching a sorted list

7	8	15	53	54	61	66	75	77	99	104	111	123	124	150
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

Find 104. How many comparisons with sequential search?

- A. 1
- B. 4
- C. 11
- D. 12
- E. 16

Vote at m.socrative.com. Room number 415439.

Sorted list

D. 12

Can we do better?

Binary search

procedure Search(List, TargetValue):

{ Input: List is a list; TargetValue is a possible entry }

{ Output: **success** if TargetValue in List; **failure** otherwise }

if (List empty)

then Output **failure**

else

 TestEntry = middle entry in List

if (TargetValue = TestEntry)

then Output **success**

else if (TargetValue < TestEntry

then Search(left-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

else Search(right-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

Binary search

Recursion

- ▶ contains reference to itself (subtask)
- ▶ **termination condition** (no infinite loops) — base case

Binary search

7	8	15	53	54	61	66	75	77	99	104	111	123	124	150
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

TargetValue: 104

Middle index: 8

TestEntry: 75

Binary search

procedure Search(List, TargetValue):

{ Input: List is a list; TargetValue is a possible entry }

{ Output: **success** if TargetValue in List; **failure** otherwise }

if (List empty)

then Output **failure**

else

 TestEntry := middle entry in List

if (TargetValue = TestEntry)

then Output **success**

else if (TargetValue < TestEntry

then Search(left-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

else Search(right-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

Binary search

7	8	15	53	54	61	66	75	77	99	104	111	123	124	150
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

TargetValue: 104

Middle index: 12

TestEntry: 111

Binary search

procedure Search(List, TargetValue):

{ Input: List is a list; TargetValue is a possible entry }

{ Output: **success** if TargetValue in List; **failure** otherwise }

if (List empty)

then Output **failure**

else

 TestEntry := middle entry in List

if (TargetValue = TestEntry)

then Output **success**

else if (TargetValue < TestEntry

then Search(left-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

else Search(right-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

Binary search

7	8	15	53	54	61	66	75	77	99	104	111	123	124	150
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

TargetValue: 104

Middle index: 10

TestEntry: 99

Binary search

procedure Search(List, TargetValue):

{ Input: List is a list; TargetValue is a possible entry }

{ Output: **success** if TargetValue in List; **failure** otherwise }

if (List empty)

then Output **failure**

else

 TestEntry := middle entry in List

if (TargetValue = TestEntry)

then Output **success**

else if (TargetValue < TestEntry

then Search(left-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

else Search(right-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

Binary search

7	8	15	53	54	61	66	75	77	99	104	111	123	124	150
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

TargetValue: 104

Middle index: 11

TestEntry: 104

Binary search

procedure Search(List, TargetValue):

{ Input: List is a list; TargetValue is a possible entry }

{ Output: **success** if TargetValue in List; **failure** otherwise }

if (List empty)

then Output **failure**

else

 TestEntry := middle entry in List

if (TargetValue = TestEntry)

then Output **success**

else if (TargetValue < TestEntry

then Search(left-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

else Search(right-of-TestEntry, TargetValue)

Binary search

7	8	15	53	54	61	66	75	77	99	104	111	123	124	150
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15

TargetValue: 104

Result: **success**

Binary search

Recursion

- ▶ contains reference to itself (subtask)
- ▶ **termination condition** (no infinite loops) — base case
- ▶ need:
 - ▶ initialization
 - ▶ modification
 - ▶ test for termination
- ▶ no more powerful than iteration, but easier to program

Divide-and-Conquer — algorithmic technique

reduce to smaller problem(s)

Binary search — analysis

Each list has length $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ the previous.

List sizes: $n, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{8} \rfloor, \dots, 1$

1 comparison per list size.

Worst case: $1 + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ comparisons — $\Theta(\log(n))$

Can it take this many comparisons?

Binary search — analysis

Each list has length $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ the previous.

List sizes: $n, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{8} \rfloor, \dots, 1$

1 comparison per list size.

Worst case: $1 + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ comparisons — $\Theta(\log(n))$

Can it take this many comparisons?

Yes.

Binary search — uses

Binary search can be used in many situations.

There does not need to be an explicit list.

In an implicit list, one could have functions of the index, such as $f(n) = (n + 1)^2$ or $f(n) = 2^n$.

Sorting

How do you sort? Think about cards.

Insertion Sort

procedure Sort(List):

{ Input: List is a list }

{ Output: List, with same entries, but in nondecreasing order }

$N := 2$

while ($N \leq \text{length}(\text{List})$)

begin

Pivot := N th entry

$j := N - 1$

while ($j > 0$ and j th entry $>$ Pivot)

begin

 move j th entry to loc. $j + 1$

$j := j - 1$

end

 place Pivot in $j + 1$ st loc.

$N := N + 1$

end