DM826 – Spring 2011 Modeling and Solving Constrained Optimization Problems

Lecture 11 Global Variables

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Resume

- Modelling in IP and CP
- Global constraints
- Local consistency notions
- Filtering algorithms for global constraints
- Search
- Symmetries
- Set variables
- Integrated/Advanced Approaches:
 - Branch and price
 - Logic-based Benders decomposition
- Scheduling

Global variables: complex variable types representing combinatorial structures in which problems find their most natural formulation

Eg: sets, multisets, strings, functions, graphs bin packing, set partitioning, mapping problems

We will see:

- Set variables
- Graph variables

Outline

1. Global Variables

2. Graph Variables

- A finite-domain integer variable takes values from a finite set of integers.
- A finite-domain set variable takes values from the power set of a finite set of integers.
 Eg.:
 domain of x is the set of subsets of {1,2,3}:

```
\{\{\},\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\},\{1,2,3\}\}
```

Finite-Set Variables

Recall the shift-assignment problem

We have a lower and an upper bound on the number of shifts that each worker is to staff (symmetric cardinality constraint)

- one variable for each worker that takes as value the set of shifts covererd by the worker. → exponential number of values
- set variables with domain D(x) = [lb(x), ub(x)]
 D(x) consists of only two sets:
 - *lb*(*x*) mandatory elements
 - $ub(x) \setminus lb(x)$ of possible elements

The value assigned to x should be a set s(x) such that $lb \subseteq s(x) \subseteq ub(x)$

In practice good to keep dual views with channelling

Finite-Set Variables

Example:

domain of x is the set of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3\}$:

```
\{\{\},\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\},\{1,2,3\}\}
```

can be represented in space-efficient way by:

 $[\{\}..\{1,2,3\}]$

The representation is however an approximation!

Example:

```
domain of x is the set of subsets of \{1, 2, 3\}:
```

 $\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$

cannot be captured exactly by an interval. The closest interval would be still:

 $[\{\}..\{1,2,3\}]$

 \rightsquigarrow we store additionally cardinality bounds: #[i..j]

Set Variables

Definition

set variable is a variable with domain D(x) = [lb(x), ub(x)]D(x) consists of only two sets:

- *lb*(*x*) mandatory elements (intersection of all subsets)
- $ub(x) \setminus lb(x)$ of possible elements (union of all subsets)

The value assigned to x must be a set s(x) such that $lb \subseteq s(x) \subseteq ub(x)$

We are not interested in domain consistency but in bound consistency:

Enforcing bound consistency

A bound consistency for a constraint C defined on a set variable \times requires that we:

- Remove a value v from ub(x) if there is no solution to C in which $v \in s(x)$.
- Include a value $v \in ub(x)$ in lb(x) if in all solutions to C, $v \in s(x)$.

In Comet

```
import cotfd;
Solver cp();
var<CP>{set{int}} S(cp,1..5,2..4);
var<CP>{set{int}} S1(cp,{3,5,7,8,9},2..4);
```

lb(x) cannot be specified. It can be stated in the CP model.

```
boolean bound();
set{int} getValue();
set{int} evalIntSet();
var<CP>{int} getCardinalityVariable();
set{int} getRequiredSet(); //lb(x)
set{int} getPossibleSet(); //ub(x)
boolean isRequired(int v);
boolean isExcluded(int v);
```

In Comet

```
import cotfd;
Solver<CP> cp();
var<CP>{set{int}} S(cp,1..5,2..4);
cp.post(S.getCardinalityVariable()!=3);
cp.post(requiresValue(S,3));
cp.post(excludesValue(S,2));
cout << S.getRequiredSet() << endl;
cout << S.getRequiredSet() << endl;
cout << S.isRequired(4) << endl;
cout << S.isExcluded(2) << endl;</pre>
```

What are the possible values of the variable *S*? And the state of the variable *S*?

```
((1){3},(1){2},(4){1,3,4,5} | (DOM:2)[2,4])
```

In Comet

In addition, create variables on the presence of values:

var<CP>{boolean}
var<CP>{boolean}
boolean
getRequired(int v);
getExcluded(int v);
hasRequiredVariable(int v);

Constraints on FS variables Basic operations

```
cp.post(S1==S2);
cp.post(subset(S1,S2));
cp.post(setunion(S1,S2,RES));
cp.post(setinter(S1,S2,RES));
cp.post(setdifference(S1,S2,RES));
```

```
RES = setunion(S1,S2);
RES = setinter(S1,S2);
RES = setdifference(S1,S2);
```

Constraints on FS variables Set cardinality

cp.post(cardinality(S1,k)); cp.post(S1.getCardinalityVariable()!=k);

cp.post(atleastIntersection(S1,S2,k)); cp.post(atmostIntersection(S1,S2,k)); cp.post(exactIntersection(S1,S2,k));

```
cp.post(disjoint(S1,S2));
cp.post(allDisjoint(SA));
```

where S1 and S2 are set variables and SA is an array of set variables.

Constraints on FS variables

Requirement and exclusion constraints

cp.post(requiresValue(S,k1)); cp.post(excludesValue(S,k2));

cp.post(requiresVariable(S,x1)); cp.post(excludesVariable(S,x2));

Constraints on FS variables Channeling constraints

 SA_1 and SA_2 two arrays of set variables

```
cp.post(channeling(SA1,SA2));
```

```
SA_1[i] = s \iff \forall j \in s : i \in SA_2[j]
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} SA_1[i] = & \{j \| SA_2[j] \text{contains} i \} \\ SA_2[j] = & \{i \| SA_1[i] \text{contains} j \} \end{array}$

Example:

SA1 = [{1,2},{3},{1,2}] SA2 = [{1,3},{1,3},{2}]

Constraints on FS variables Channeling constraints

SA an array of set variables, X an array of integer variables

cp.post(channeling(SA,X));

 $SA[i] = s \iff \forall j \in s : X[j] = i$

SA = [{1,2},{3}] X = [1,1,2]

Constraints on FS variables Set Global Cardinality

bounds the minimum and maximum number of occurrences of an element in an array of set variables:

$\forall v \in U : I_v \leq |\mathcal{S}_v| \leq u_v$

where S_v is the set of set variables that contain the element v, i.e., $S_v = \{s \in S : v \in s\}$

cp.post(setGlobalCardinality(1,SA,u));

Constraints on FS variables Set Global Cardinality

 Table 1. Intersection × Cardinality.

	$\forall i < j \ldots$					
$\forall k \dots$	$ X_i \cap X_j = 0$	$ X_i \cap X_j \le k$	$ X_i \cap X_j \ge k$	$ X_i \cap X_j = k$		
	Disjoint	Intersect<	Intersect>	Intersect_		
-	polynomial	polynomial	polynomial	NP-hard		
	decomposable	decomposable	decomposable	$not\ decomposable$		
	NEDisjoint	NEIntersect<	NEIntersect>	FCIntersect_		
$ X_{k} > 0$	polynomial	polynomial	polynomial	NP-hard		
	$not\ decomposable$	decomposable	decomposable	$not\ decomposable$		
	FCDisjoint	FCIntersect<	FCIntersect>	NEIntersect_		
$ X_k = m_k$	poly on sets, NP-hard on multisets	NP-hard	NP-hard	NP-hard		
	$not\ decomposable$	$not \ decomposable$	$not \ decomposable$	$not \ decomposable$		

Table 2. Partition + Intersection \times Cardinality.

	$\bigcup_i X_i = X \land \forall i < j \ldots$					
$\forall k \dots$	$ X_i \cap X_j = 0$	$ X_i \cap X_j \le k$	$ X_i \cap X_j \ge k$	$ X_i \cap X_j = k$		
-	Partition: polynomial	?	?	?		
	decomposable					
$ X_k > 0$	NEPartition: polynomial	?	?	?		
	$not\ decomposable$					
	FCPartition					
$ X_k = m_k$	polynomial on sets, NP-hard on multisets	?	?	?		
	$not\ decomposable$					

Sonet **problem**

Optical fiber network design

Sonet problem

Input: weighted undirected demand graph G = (N, E; d), where each node $u \in N$ represents a client and weighted edges $(u, v) \in E$ correspond to traffic demands of a pair of clients.

Two nodes can communicate, only if they join the same ring; nodes may join more than one ring. We must respect:

- maximum number of rings r
- maximum number of clients per ring a
- maximum bandwidth capacity of each ring c

Task: find a topology that minimizes the sum, over all rings, of the number of nodes that join each ring while clients' traffic demands are met.

Sonet problem

Sonet problem

A solution of the SONET problem is an assignment of rings to nodes and of capacity to demands such that

all demands of each client pairs are satisfied;

the ring traffic does not exceed the bandwidth capacity;

at most r rings are used;

at most a ADMs on each ring;

the total number of ADMs used is minimized.

- Set variable X_i represents the set of nodes assigned to ring i
- Set variable Y_u represents the set of rings assigned to node u
- Integer variable Z_{ie} represents the amount of bandwidth assigned to demand pair *e* on ring *i*.

Sonet: model

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{i \in R} |X_i| \\ \text{s.t.} & |Y_u \cap Y_v| \geq 1, & \forall (u, v) \in E, \\ & Z_{i,(u,v)} > 0 \Rightarrow i \in (Y_u \cap Y_v), & \forall i \in R, (u, v) \in E, \\ & Z_{ie} = d(e), & \forall e \in E, \\ & u \in X_i \Leftrightarrow i \in Y_u, & \forall e \in R, u \in N, \\ & |X_i| \leq a, & \forall i \in R \\ & \sum_{e \in E} Z_{ie} \leq c, & \forall i \in R. \\ & X_i \preceq X_j, & \forall i, j \in R : i < j. \end{array}$$

Outline

1. Global Variables

2. Graph Variables

Graph Variables

Definition

A graph variable is simply two set variables V and E, with an inherent constraint $E \subseteq V \times V$.

Hence, the domain D(G) = [lb(G), ub(G)] of a graph variable G consists of:

- mandatory vertices and edges lb(G) (the lower bound graph) and
- possible vertices and edges $ub(G) \setminus lb(G)$ (the upper bound graph).

The value assigned to the variable G must be a subgraph of ub(G) and a super graph of the lb(G).

Bound consistency on Graph Variables

Graph variables are convinient for possiblity of efficient filtering algorithms

Example:

Subgraph(G,S)

specifies that S is a subgraph of G. Computing bound consistency for the subgraph constraint means the following:

- 1. If lb(S) is not a subgraph of ub(G), the constraint has no solution (consistency check).
- 2. For each $e \in ub(G) \cap lb(S)$, include e in lb(G).
- 3. For each $e \in ub(S) \setminus ub(G)$, remove e from ub(S).

Constraint on Graph Variables

- Tree constraint: enforces the partitioning of a digraph into a set of vertex-disjoint anti-arborescences. (see, [Beldiceanu2005])
- Weghted Spanning Tree constraint: given a weighted undirected graph G = (V, E) and a weight K, the constraint enforces that T is a spanning tree of cost at most K (see, [Regin2008,2010] and its application to the TSP [Rousseau2010]).
- Shorter Path constraint: given a weighted directed graph G = (N, A) and a weight K, the constraint specifies that P is a subset of G, corresponding to a path of cost at most K. (see, [Sellmann2003, Gellermann2005])
- (Weighted) Clique Constraint, (see, [Regin2003]).

References

- Bessiere C., Hebrard E., Hnich B., and Walsh T. (2004). Disjoint, partition and intersection constraints for set and multiset variables. In *Principles and Practice* of *Constraint Programming – CP 2004*, edited by M. Wallace, vol. 3258 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 138–152. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg.
- Gervet C. (2006). **Constraints over structured domains**. In *Handbook of Constraint Programming*, edited by F. Rossi, P. van Beek, and T. Walsh, chap. 10, pp. 329–376. Elsevier.
- van Hoeve W. and Katriel I. (2006). **Global constraints**. In *Handbook of Constraint Programming*, chap. 6. Elsevier.