Outline

1. Assignment 1 Results Writing Code

2. SAT

2

4

Outline Assignment 1

SAT

DM811 Heuristics for Combinatorial Optimization

> Lecture 6 SAT

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Recap

Outline Assignment 1 SAT

Outline

- Combinatorial Optimization and Terminology
- Basic Concepts in Algorithmics
 Graphs Notation and runtime Machine model Pseudo-code •
 Computational Complexity Analysis of Algorithms
- Construction Heuristics + Local Search + Metaheuristics
- Software systems and Working Environment [Comet, EasyLocal++, unix]
- Assignment 1 + Analysis of Results in R [RStudio, Cheat Sheet, My Notes, script]
- Construction Heuristics (search tree, variable + value model) [from complete (DFS, Best first, A*) to incomplete search (greedy)]
- Metaheuristics on CH

1. Assignment 1 Results Writing Code

2. SAT

Outline



2. SAT





Outline Assignment 1 SAT 6

6



Outline Assignment 1 SAT 5

6

Outline

SAT

Assignment 1



Different scales among instances hide differences









log-log transformation \rightsquigarrow polynomial is a straigth line

Outline

6

6

Outline Assignment 1 SAT



6





witting

2. SAT

Examples

import cote:
include "Incid DIMACS"
// int nv:
// int me;
// float alpha;
// bool adj[nv,nv];
range Vertices = 1nv;
range Colors = 1nv;
int nbc = Colors.getUp();
Solver <ls> m();</ls>
var{int} col[Vertices](m,Colors) := 1;
ConstraintSystem <ls> S(m);</ls>
forall (i in Vertices, j in Vertices: j>i && adj[i,j])
S.post(col[i] != col[j]);
S.close();
m.close();
// CONSTRUCTION HEURISTIC
set{int} dom[v in Vertices] = setof(c in Colors) true;
RandomPermutation perm(Vertices);
forall (i in 1nv) {
int v = perm.get();
selectivin(c in dom(vj)(c) {
forali(w in Vertices: adj[v,w])
aomįwj.aeiere(c);
}
nbc = max(v in Vertices) col[v];
Colors = 1nbc;
cout<<"Construction_heuristic,_done:_"< <nbc<<"_colors"<< endl;<="" td=""></nbc<<"_colors"<<>

Where do speedups come from?

Where can maximum speedup be achieved? How much speedup should you expect?

Code Tuning

- Caution: proceed carefully! Let the optimizing compiler do its work!
- Expression Rules: Recode for smaller instruction counts.
- Loop and procedure rules: Recode to avoid loop or procedure call overhead.
- Hidden costs of high-level languages
- String comparisons in C: proportional to length of the string, not constant
- Object construction / de-allocation: very expensive
- Matrix access: row-major order \neq column-major order
- Exploit algebraic identities
- Avoid unnecessary computations inside the loops

code1.java/png code3.cpp



Outline

SAT

Assignment 1



9

Where Speedups Come From?



Relevant Literature

Outline Assignment 1 SAT

McGeoch reports conventional wisdom, based on studies in the literature.

- Concurrency is tricky: bad -7x to good 500x
- Classic algorithms: to 1trillion and beyond
- Data-aware: up to 100x
- Memory-aware: up to 20x
- Algorithm tricks: up to 200x
- Code tuning: up to 10x
- Change platforms: up to 10x

Bentley, Writing Efficient Programs; Programming Pearls (Chapter 8 Code Tuning)

Kernighan and Pike, **The Practice of Programming** (Chapter 7 Performance). Shirazi, **Java Performance Tuning**, O'Reilly

McCluskey, Thirty ways to improve the performance of your Java program. Manuscript and website: www.glenmcci.com/jperf

Randal E. Bryant e David R. O'Hallaron: **Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective**, Prentice Hall, 2003, (Chapter 5)

12

Outline

Assignment 1 SAT

Outline

1. Assignment 1 Results Writing Code

2. SAT

SAT Problem Satisfiability problem in propositional logic Outline Assignment 1 SAT 13

 $(x_{5} \lor x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (x_{2} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{7}) \land (\bar{x}_{5} \lor x_{3} \lor x_{8}) \land (\bar{x}_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{5}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{3}) \land (x_{2} \lor x_{1} \lor x_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{1} \lor x_{8} \lor x_{4}) \land (\bar{x}_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{5}) \land (x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor x_{8}) \land (x_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{2} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{8}) \land (x_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{2} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{8}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{3}) \land (x_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{2} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{8}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{3}) \land (x_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{7} \lor x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (\bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{4}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor x_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (x_{7} \lor x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (\bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{4}) \land (x_{8} \lor x_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (x_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{4} \lor \bar{x}_{6}) \land (\bar{x}_{4} \lor x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{8}) \land (x_{2} \lor x_{9} \lor x_{1}) \land (\bar{x}_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{7} \lor x_{1}) \land (\bar{x}_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{4} \lor \bar{x}_{6}) \land (x_{4} \lor x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{8}) \land (x_{4} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{4}) \land (x_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{1} \lor x_{4} \lor x_{3}) \land (x_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{4}) \land (x_{3} \lor x_{5} \lor x_{6}) \land (\bar{x}_{6} \lor x_{7} \lor x_{5} \lor x_{9}) \land (x_{7} \lor \bar{x}_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (x_{4} \lor x_{7} \lor x_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{7} \land (x_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor x_{7}) \land (x_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor x_{7}) \land (x_{6} \lor x_{7} \lor \bar{x}_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor x_{7} \lor x_{5})$

Does there exists a truth assignment satisfying all clauses? Search for a satisfying assignment (or prove none exists)

 $\begin{array}{c} (x_{5} \lor x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (x_{2} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{7}) \land (\bar{x}_{5} \lor x_{3} \lor x_{8}) \land \\ (\bar{x}_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{5}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{3}) \land (x_{2} \lor x_{1} \lor x_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{1} \lor x_{8} \lor x_{4}) \land \\ (\bar{x}_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{6} \lor x_{8}) \land (x_{8} \lor x_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{9}) \land (x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor x_{8}) \land (x_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{5}) \land \\ (x_{2} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{8}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{3}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{1}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor x_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land \\ (x_{7} \lor x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{2}) \land (\bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{4}) \land (x_{8} \lor x_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land \\ (x_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{4} \lor \bar{x}_{6}) \land (\bar{x}_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{7} \lor x_{5}) \land (\bar{x}_{7} \lor x_{1} \lor x_{6}) \land (\bar{x}_{5} \lor x_{4} \lor \bar{x}_{6}) \land \\ (\bar{x}_{4} \lor x_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{8}) \land (x_{2} \lor x_{9} \lor x_{1}) \land (x_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{7} \lor x_{1}) \land (\bar{x}_{7} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{6}) \land \\ (x_{2} \lor x_{5} \lor x_{4}) \land (x_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{4} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor x_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{7} \lor x_{9}) \land \\ (x_{2} \lor \bar{x}_{8} \lor x_{1}) \land (\bar{x}_{7} \lor x_{1} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{1} \lor x_{4} \lor x_{3}) \land (x_{1} \lor \bar{x}_{9} \lor \bar{x}_{4}) \land \\ (x_{3} \lor x_{5} \lor x_{6}) \land (\bar{x}_{6} \lor x_{3} \lor \bar{x}_{9}) \land (\bar{x}_{7} \lor x_{5} \lor x_{9}) \land (x_{7} \lor \bar{x}_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{2}) \land \\ (x_{4} \lor x_{7} \lor x_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor \bar{x}_{6} \lor \bar{x}_{7}) \land (x_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor x_{7}) \land (x_{5} \lor \bar{x}_{1} \lor x_{7}) \land (x_{6} \lor x_{7} \lor \bar{x}_{3}) \land (\bar{x}_{8} \lor x_{2} \lor x_{5})$

Does there exists a truth assignment satisfying all clauses? Search for a satisfying assignment (or prove none exists)

SAT Problem

Satisfiability problem in propositional logic

Definitions:

- Formula in propositional logic: well-formed string that may contain
 - propositional variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n ;
 - truth values \top ('true'), \perp ('false');
 - operators \neg ('not'), \land ('and'), \lor ('or');
 - parentheses (for operator nesting).

- From 100 variables, 200 constraints (early 90s) to 1,000,000 vars. and 20,000,000 cls. in 20 years.
- Applications:

Hardware and Software Verification, Planning, Scheduling, Optimal Control, Protocol Design, Routing, Combinatorial problems, Equivalence Checking, etc.

• SAT used to solve many other problems!

15

Outline

Assignment 1 SAT

Outline

SAT

Assignment 1

SAT Problem Satisfiability problem in propositional logic

Definitions:

- Formula in propositional logic: well-formed string that may contain
 - propositional variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n ;
 - truth values \top ('true'), \perp ('false');
 - operators \neg ('not'), \land ('and'), \lor ('or');
 - parentheses (for operator nesting).
- Model (or satisfying assignment) of a formula *F*: Assignment of truth values to the variables in *F* under which *F* becomes true (under the usual interpretation of the logical operators)

16

17

Outline Assignment 1 SAT Definitions:

- Formula in propositional logic: well-formed string that may contain
 - propositional variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n ;
 - truth values op ('true'), op ('false');
 - operators \neg ('not'), \land ('and'), \lor ('or');
 - parentheses (for operator nesting).
- Model (or satisfying assignment) of a formula *F*: Assignment of truth values to the variables in *F* under which *F* becomes true (under the usual interpretation of the logical operators)
- Formula *F* is satisfiable iff there exists at least one model of *F*, unsatisfiable otherwise.

SAT Problem (decision problem, search variant):

- **Given:** Formula *F* in propositional logic
- **Task:** Find an assignment of truth values to variables in *F* that renders *F* true, or decide that no such assignment exists.

Outline

Assignment 1 SAT 17

Outline

SAT

Assignment 1

Outline Assignment 1 SAT 18

SAT Problem (decision problem, search variant):

- Given: Formula F in propositional logic
- **Task:** Find an assignment of truth values to variables in *F* that renders *F* true, or decide that no such assignment exists.

SAT: A simple example

- Given: Formula $F := (x_1 \lor x_2) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2)$
- **Task:** Find an assignment of truth values to variables x_1, x_2 that renders *F* true, or decide that no such assignment exists.

Definitions:

i-

• A formula is in conjunctive normal form (CNF) iff it is of the form

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \bigvee_{j=1}^{k_i} l_{ij} = (l_{11} \vee \ldots \vee l_{1k_1}) \wedge \ldots \wedge (l_{m1} \vee \ldots \vee l_{mk_m})$$

where each literal l_{ij} is a propositional variable or its negation. The disjunctions $c_i = (l_{i1} \vee \ldots \vee l_{ik_i})$ are called clauses.

• A formula is in *k*-CNF iff it is in CNF and all clauses contain exactly *k* literals (*i.e.*, for all *i*, *k*_{*i*} = *k*).

Definitions:

• A formula is in conjunctive normal form (CNF) iff it is of the form

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m}\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{i}}I_{ij}=(I_{11}\vee\ldots\vee I_{1k_{1}})\wedge\ldots\wedge(I_{m1}\vee\ldots\vee I_{mk_{m}})$$

where each literal l_{ij} is a propositional variable or its negation. The disjunctions $c_i = (l_{i1} \vee \ldots \vee l_{ik_i})$ are called clauses.

- A formula is in *k*-CNF iff it is in CNF and all clauses contain exactly *k* literals (*i.e.*, for all *i*, *k*_{*i*} = *k*).
- In many cases, the restriction of SAT to CNF formulae is considered.
- For every propositional formula, there is an equivalent formula in 3-CNF.



$$F := \land (\neg x_2 \lor x_1) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor \neg x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2) \land (\neg x_4 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_5 \lor x_3)$$

F is in CNF.Is *F* satisfiable?



20

Example:

 $F := \land (\neg x_2 \lor x_1) \\ \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor \neg x_3) \\ \land (x_1 \lor x_2) \\ \land (\neg x_4 \lor x_3) \\ \land (\neg x_5 \lor x_3)$

- F is in CNF.
- Is F satisfiable? Yes, e.g., $x_1 := x_2 := \top$, $x_3 := x_4 := x_5 := \bot$ is a model of F.

MAX-SAT (optimization problem)

Which is the maximal number of clauses satisfiable in a propositional logic formula F?



Typically applied in cascade until no rule is effective anymore.

19

Outline

Assignment 1 SAT

Examples

Outline Assignment 1 SAT

Construction heuristics

Outline Assignment 1 SAT

• Variable selection heuristics aim: minimize the search space plus: could compensate a bad value selection

1. eliminate duplicate literals

- 2. tautologies: $x_1 \vee \neg x_1 \dots$
- 3. subsumed clauses
- 4. pure literals
- 5. unit clauses
- 6. unit propagation

22

Outline Assignment 1 SAT

Construction heuristics

 Variable selection heuristics aim: minimize the search space plus: could compensate a bad value selection

• Value selection heuristics aim: guide search towards a solution (or conflict) plus: could compensate a bad variable selection

Construction heuristics

Outline Assignment 1 SAT 23

- Variable selection heuristics aim: minimize the search space plus: could compensate a bad value selection
- Value selection heuristics aim: guide search towards a solution (or conflict) plus: could compensate a bad variable selection
- Restart strategies
 aim: avoid heavy-tail behavior [GomesSelmanCrato'97]
 plus: focus search on recent conflicts when combined with dynamic heuristics

Variable selection heuristics

Outline Assignment 1 SAT

Value selection heuristics

Outline Assignment 1 SAT

- Maximal Occurrence in clauses of Minimal Size (Jeroslow-Wang)
- Variable State Independent Decaying Sum (VSIDS) original idea (zChaff): for each conflict, increase the score of involved variables by 1, half all scores each 256 conflicts [MoskewiczMZZM2001]
- improvement (MiniSAT): for each conflict, increase the score of involved variables by δ and increase $\delta := 1.05\delta$ [EenS"orensson2003]

- Based on the occurrences in the (reduced) formula examples: Jeroslow-Wang, Maximal Occurrence in clauses of Minimal Size (MOMS), look-aheads
- Based on the encoding / consequently negative branching (early MiniSAT)
- Based on the last implied value (phase-saving)

24