DM545 Linear and Integer Programming

## Lecture 11 More on Network Flows

### Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

# Outline

1. More on Network Flows

2. Cutting Plane Algorithms

# Peer Review of Assignment 1

You will receive an anonymous report per email. The report will be chosen among those being present in class today.

• Comment the report without giving grades. Be picky and polite!

- Bring the report in class the next time.
- In class you will gather in pairs in a tournament-like fashion and compare the two reports
- The reports ranking last will be reviewed by the instructor and risk a no pass.

# Outline

1. More on Network Flows

2. Cutting Plane Algorithms

## ILP in Excel

ILP can be solved in Excel! Let's solve the min cost flow problem below:



Is the simplex algorithm polynomial or exponential in the worst case? Is an LP problem polynomially solvable or NP-hard?

# Minimum spanning tree

#### Definition

Given a graph G = (V, E)

- ▶ a forest is a subgraph G' = (V, E') containing no cycles
- ▶ a tree is a subgraph G' = (V, E') that is a forest and is connected (∃ a (uv)-path  $\forall u, v \in V$ )

### Proposition

A graph G = (V, E) is a tree iff

- it is a forest containing exactly n-1 edges
- it is an edge minimal connected graph spanning V
- ▶ it contains a unique path between every pair of nodes of V
- ▶ the addition of an edge not in *E* creates a unique cycle.

Solvable via greedy algorithm (Kruskall)

$$\max \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e$$
(1)  
$$\sum_{e \in E(S)} x_e \le |S| - 1$$
for  $2 \le |S| \le n$ (2)  
$$x_e \ge 0$$
for  $e \in E$ (3)  
$$x \in \mathbb{Z}^{|E|}$$
(4)

#### Theorem

The convex hull of the incidence vectors of the forests in a graph is given by the constraints (2)-(3)

# Network simplex

- Improved version of the simplex method for network flows (still not polynomial but performs well in practice)
- it goes through same basic steps at each iteration: finding basic variable + determining leaving variable + solving for the new basis
- executes these steps exploiting network structure without needing a simplex tableau
- ► Key idea: network representation of basic feasible solutions

▶ in min cost flow formulation one of the node constraints is redundant (summing all these constraints yields zero on both sides -  $\sum_i b_i = 0$ )

▶ with n - 1 non redundant node constraints, we have just n - 1 basic variables for a basic solution each basic variable x<sub>ij</sub> represents the flow though arc ij: basic arcs

- basic arcs never form undirected cycles...
- hence they form a spanning tree

# Multi-commodity flow problem

Multi-commodity flow problem ship several commodities using the same network, different origin destination pairs separate mass balance constraints, share capacity constraints, min overall flow

How does the structure of the matrix looks like? Is it still TUM?

**Residual Network** N(x): replace arc  $ij \in N$  with arcs:

 $ij: c_{ij}, r_{ij} = u_{ij} - x_{ij}$  $ji: -c_{ij}, r_{ji} = x_{ij}$ 

## **Optimality Condition**

- ▶ Ford Fulkerson augmenting path algorithm  $O(m|x^*|)$
- Edmonds-Karp algorithm (augment by shortest path) in  $O(nm^2)$
- Dinic algorithm in layered networks  $O(n^2m)$
- Karzanov's push relabel  $O(n^2m)$

Optimality conditions: Let x be feasible flow in N(V, A, I, u, b) then x is min cost flow in N iff N(x) contains no directed cycle of negative cost.

- Cycle canceling algorithm with Bellman Ford Moore for negative cycles O(nm<sup>2</sup>UC)
- Build up algorithms  $O(n^2 m M)$

Matching:  $M \subseteq E$  of pairwise non adjacent edges

- bipartite graphs
  cardinality (max or perfect)
- arbitrary graphs

weighted

Assignment problem  $\equiv$  min weighted perfect bipartite matching  $\equiv$  special case of min cost flow

### bipartite cardinality

#### Theorem

The cardinality of a max matching in a bipartite graph equals the value of a maximum (s, t)-flow in  $N_{st}$ .

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Dinic  $O(\sqrt{nm})$ 

## Theorem (Optimality condition (Berge))

A matching M in a graph G is a maximum matching iff G contains no M-augmenting path.

```
\rightsquigarrow augmenting path O(\min(|U|, |V|), m)
```

#### bipartite weighted

build up algorithm  $O(n^3)$ bipartite weighted: Hungarian method  $O(n^3)$ 

```
minimum weight perfect matching Edmonds O(n^3)
```

## Theorem (Hall's (marriage) theorem)

A bipartite graph B = (X, Y, E) has a matching covering X iff:

 $|N(U)| \ge |U| \quad \forall U \subseteq X$ 

#### Theorem (König, Egeavary theorem)

Let B = (X, Y, E) be a bipartite graph. Let  $M^*$  be the maximum matching and  $V^*$  the minimum vertex cover:

 $|M^*| = |V^*|$ 

# Outline

1. More on Network Flows

2. Cutting Plane Algorithms

# Valid Inequalities

• IP: 
$$z = \max\{c^T x : x \in X\}, X = \{x : Ax \le b, x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$$

- Proposition:  $conv(X) = \{x : \tilde{A}x \leq \tilde{b}, x \geq 0\}$  is a polyhedron
- ▶ LP:  $z = \max\{c^T x : \tilde{A}x \leq \tilde{b}, x \geq 0\}$  would be the best formulation
- ▶ Key idea: try to approximate the best formulation.

#### Definition (Valid inequalities)

 $ax \leq b$  is a valid inequality for  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $ax \leq b \ \forall x \in X$ 

Which are useful inequalities? and how can we find them? How can we use them?

## Example: Pre-processing

• 
$$X = \{(x, y) : x \le 999y; 0 \le x \le 5, y \in \mathbb{B}^1\}$$

 $x \le 6y$ 

•  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : 13x_1 + 20x_2 + 11x_3 + 6x_4 \ge 72\}$ 

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \ge \frac{13}{11}x_1 + \frac{20}{11}x_2 + x_3 + \frac{6}{11}x_4 \ge \frac{72}{11} \ge 6 + \frac{6}{11}$$
$$2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \ge 7$$

$$\sum_{i \in M} x_{ij} \leq b_j y_j \quad \forall j \in N \qquad \qquad x_{ij} \leq b_j y_j$$
$$\sum_{j \in N} x_{ij} = a_i \quad \forall i \in M \qquad \qquad x_{ij} \leq a_i$$
$$x_{ij} \geq 0, y_j \in B^n \qquad \qquad x_{ij} \leq \max\{a_i, b_j\} y_j$$

To be continued next lecture



1. More on Network Flows

2. Cutting Plane Algorithms