

DM204 – Spring 2011
Scheduling, Timetabling and Routing

Lecture 12
Vehicle Routing
Time Windows and Branch and Price

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science
University of Southern Denmark

Outline

1. Vehicle Routing with Time Windows

Course Overview

✓ Scheduling

- ✓ Classification
- ✓ Complexity issues
- ✓ Single Machine
 - Parallel Machine
 - Flow Shop and Job Shop
 - Resource Constrained Project Scheduling Model

● Timetabling

- ✓ Crew/Vehicle Scheduling
 - Public Transports
 - Workforce scheduling
- ✓ Reservations
- ✓ Education
 - Sport Timetabling

● Vehicle Routing

- MILP Approaches
- Construction Heuristics
- Local Search Algorithms

Outline

1. Vehicle Routing with Time Windows

Outline

1. Vehicle Routing with Time Windows

VRPTW

$$\min \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{(i,j) \in A} c_{ij} x_{ijk} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^+(i)} x_{ijk} = 1 \quad \forall i \in V \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^+(0)} x_{ijk} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^-(0)} x_{ijk} = 1 \quad \forall k \in K \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^-(i)} x_{jik} - \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^+(i)} x_{ijk} = 0 \quad i \in V, k \in K \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in A} d_i x_{ijk} \leq C \quad \forall k \in K \quad (5)$$

$$x_{ijk}(w_{ik} + t_{ij}) \leq w_{jk} \quad \forall k \in K, (i,j) \in A \quad (6)$$

$$a_i \leq w_{ik} \leq b_i \quad \forall k \in K, i \in V \quad (7)$$

$$x_{ijk} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (8)$$

Pre-processing

- Arc elimination

- $a_i + t_{ij} > b_j \rightarrow$ arc (i, j) cannot exist
- $d_i + d_j > C \rightarrow$ arcs (i, j) and (j, i) cannot exist

- Time windows reduction

- $[a_i, b_i] \leftarrow [\max\{a_0 + t_{0i}, a_i\}, \min\{b_{n+1} - t_{i,n+1}, b_i\}]$

- Time windows reduction:

- Iterate over the following rules until no one applies anymore:

1) Minimal arrival time from predecessors:

$$a_l = \max \left\{ a_l, \min \left\{ b_l, \min_{(i,l)} \{ a_i + t_{il} \} \right\} \right\}.$$

2) Minimal arrival time to successors:

$$a_l = \max \left\{ a_l, \min \left\{ b_l, \min_{(l,j)} \{ a_j - t_{lj} \} \right\} \right\}.$$

3) Maximal departure time from predecessors:

$$b_l = \min \left\{ b_l, \max \left\{ a_l, \max_{(i,l)} \{ b_i + t_{il} \} \right\} \right\}.$$

4) Maximal departure time to successors:

$$b_l = \min \left\{ b_l, \max \left\{ a_l, \max_{(l,j)} \{ b_j - t_{lj} \} \right\} \right\}.$$

Lower Bounds

- Combinatorial relaxation
relax constraints (5) and (6)
reduce to network flow problem
- Linear relaxation
fractional near-optimal solution has capacity and time windows
constraints inactive

In both cases the bounds are weak

Dantzig Wolfe Decomposition

The VRPTW has the structure:

$$\min \quad c^k x^k$$

$$\sum_{k \in K} A^k x^k \leq b$$

$$D^k x^k \leq d^k$$


$$x^k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\forall k \in K$$

$$\forall k \in K$$

Dantzig Wolfe Decomposition

Illustrated with matrix blocks



[illustration by Simon Spoorendonk, DIKU]

Linking constraint in VRPTW is $\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^+(i)} x_{ijk} = 1, \quad \forall i$. The description of the block $D^k x^k \leq d^k$ is all the rest:

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in A} d_i x_{ij} \leq C \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{j \in V} x_{0j} = \sum_{i \in V} x_{i,n+1} = 1 \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{i \in V} x_{ih} - \sum_{j \in V} x_{hj} = 0 \quad \forall h \in V \quad (11)$$


$$w_i + t_{ij} - M_{ij}(1 - x_{ij}) \leq w_j \quad \forall (i,j) \in A \quad (12)$$

$$a_i \leq w_i \leq b_i \quad \forall i \in V \quad (13)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (14)$$

where we omitted the index k because, by the assumption of homogeneous fleet, all blocks are equal.

Dantzig Wolfe Decomposition



[illustration by Simon Spoorendonk, DIKU]

Master problem

A Set Partitioning Problem


$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} c_{ij} \alpha_{ijp} \lambda_p \\ & \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^+(i)} \alpha_{ijp} \lambda_p = 1 \quad \forall i \in V \\ & \lambda_p = \{0, 1\} \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P} is the set of valid paths and $\alpha_{ijp} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (i,j) \notin p \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Subproblem

Elementary Shortest Path Problem with Resource Constraints (ESPPRC)

- arcs modified with duals (possible negative costs), NP-hard
- find shortest path without violating resource limits



Subproblem

$$\min \sum_{(i,j) \in A} \hat{c}_{ij} x_{ij} \quad (15)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{(i,j) \in A} d_i x_{ij} \leq C \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{j \in V} x_{0j} = \sum_{i \in V} x_{i,n+1} = 1 \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{i \in V} x_{ih} - \sum_{j \in V} x_{hj} = 0 \quad \forall h \in V \quad (18)$$

$$w_i + t_{ij} - M_{ij}(1 - x_{ij}) \leq w_j \quad \forall (i,j) \in A \quad (19)$$

$$a_i \leq w_i \leq b_i \quad \forall i \in V \quad (20)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad (21)$$

Subproblem


Solution approach:

- ESPPRC Solved by **dynamic programming**. Algorithms maintain labels at vertices and remove **dominated** labels. Domination rules are crucial.
- Relaxing and allowing cycles the SPPRC can be solved in pseudo-polynomial time.
Negative cycles are however limited by the resource constraints.
Cycle elimination procedures by post-processing
- Further extensions (arising from branching rules on the master):
SPPRC with forbidden paths
SPPRC with (i,j) -antipairing constraints
SPPRC with (i,j) -follower constraint

For details see chp. 2 of [B8]

Branch and Bound

Cuts in the original three index problem formulation (before DWD)



[illustration by Simon Spoorendonk, DIKU]

Branching

- branch on original variables
 - $\sum_k x_{ijk} = 0/1$ imposes follower constraints on visits of i and j
 - choose a variable with fractional not close to 0 or 1, ie,
 $\max c_{ij}(\min\{x_{ijk}, 1 - x_{ijk}\})$

- branch on time windows
split time windows s.t. at least one route becomes infeasible
compute $[l_i^r, u_i^r]$ (earliest latest) for the current fractional flow

$$L_i = \max_{\text{fract. routes } r} \{l_i^r\} \quad \forall i \in V$$

$$U_i = \min_{\text{fract. routes } r} \{u_i^r\} \quad \forall i \in V$$

if $L_i > U_i$ \Rightarrow at least two routes have disjoint feasibility intervals