DM841 Discrete Optimization

Vehicle Routing Construction Heuristics

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Outline

1. Construction Heuristics for CVRP

Outline

1. Construction Heuristics for CVRP

Construction Heuristics for CVRP

- TSP based heuristics
- Saving heuristics (Clarke and Wright)
- Insertion heuristics
- Cluster-first route-second
 - Sweep algorithm
 - Generalized assignment
 - Location based heuristic
 - Petal algorithm
- Route-first cluster-second

Cluster-first route-second seems to perform better than route-first (Note: distinction construction heuristic / iterative improvement is often blurred)

Construction heuristics for TSP

They can be used for route-first cluster-second or for growing multiple tours subject to capacity constraints.

- Heuristics that Grow Fragments
 - Nearest neighborhood heuristics
 - Double-Ended Nearest Neighbor heuristic
 - Multiple Fragment heuristic (aka, greedy heuristic)
- Heuristics that Grow Tours
 - Nearest Addition
 - Farthest Addition
 - Random Addition

- Nearest Insertion
- Farthest Insertion
- Random Insertion
- Clarke-Wright saving heuristic
- Heuristics based on Trees
 - Minimum spanning tree heuristic
 - Christofides' heuristics

(But recall! Concorde: http://www.tsp.gatech.edu/)



Figure 1. The Nearest Neighbor heuristic.

NN (Flood, 1956)

- 1. Randomly select a starting node
- 2. Add to the last node the closest node until no more nodes are available
- 3. Connect the last node with the first node

Running time $O(N^2)$

[Bentley, 1992]



The Multiple Fragment heuristic.

Add the cheapest edge provided it does not create a cycle.





NA

Select a node and its closest node and build a tour of two nodes
 Insert in the tour the closest node *v* until no more node are available

Running time $O(N^3)$

[Bentley, 1992]



Figure 11. The Farthest Addition heuristic.

FA

- 1. Select a node and its farthest and build a tour of two nodes
- 2. Insert in the tour the farthest node v until no more node are available

FA is more effective than NA because the first few farthest points sketch a broad outline of the tour that is refined after.

Running time $O(N^3)$

[Bentley, 1992]



Figure 14. The Random Addition heuristic.



Figure 18. The Minimum Spanning Tree heuristic.

1. Find a minimum spanning tree $O(N^2)$

2. Append the nodes in the tour in a depth-first, inorder traversal Running time $O(N^2)$ $A = MST(I)/OPT(I) \le 2$

[Bentley, 1992]



- 1. Find the minimum spanning tree T. $O(N^2)$
- 2. Find nodes in T with odd degree and find the cheapest perfect matching M in the complete graph consisting of these nodes only. Let G be the multigraph of all nodes and edges in T and M. $O(N^3)$
- 3. Find an Eulerian walk (each node appears at least once and each edge exactly once) on G and an embedded tour. O(N)

Running time $O(N^3)$

 $A = CH(I)/OPT(I) \leq 3/2$

Construction Heuristics Specific for VRP



Clarke-Wright Saving Heuristic (1964)

1. Start with an initial allocation of one vehicle to each customer (0 is the depot for VRP or any chosen city for TSP)

Sequential:

- 2. consider in turn route $(0, i, \ldots, j, 0)$ determine s_{ki} and s_{jl}
- 3. merge with (k, 0) or (0, l)

Construction Heuristics Specific for VRP



Clarke-Wright Saving Heuristic (1964)

1. Start with an initial allocation of one vehicle to each customer (0 is the depot for VRP or any chosen city for TSP)

Parallel:

- 2. Calculate saving $s_{ij} = c_{0i} + c_{0j} c_{ij}$ and order the saving in non-increasing order
- 3. scan s_{ij}

merge routes if i) i and j are not in the same tour ii) neither i and j are interior to an existing route iii) vehicle and time capacity are not exceeded





Matching Based Saving Heuristic

- 1. Start with an initial allocation of one vehicle to each customer (0 is the depot for VRP or any chosen city for TSP)
- 2. Compute $s_{pq} = t(S_p) + t(S_q) t(S_p \cup S_q)$ where $t(\cdot)$ is the TSP solution
- 3. Solve a max weighted matching on the sets S_k with weights s_{pq} on edges. A connection between a route p and q exists only if the merging is feasible.

Insertion Heuristic

$$\alpha(i,k,j) = c_{ik} + c_{kj} - \lambda c_{ij}$$

$$\beta(i,k,j) = \mu c_{0k} - \alpha(i,k,j)$$

- 1. construct emerging route (0, k, 0)
- 2. compute for all k unrouted the feasible insertion cost:

$$\alpha^*(i_k, k, j_k) = \min_p \{\alpha(i_p, k, i_{p+1})\}$$

if no feasible insertion go to 1 otherwise choose k^* such that

$$\beta^*(i_k^*, k^*, j_k^*) = \max_k \{\beta(i_k, k, j_k)\}$$



Cluster-first route-second: Sweep algorithm [Wren & Holliday (1971)]

- 1. Choose i^* and set $\theta(i^*) = 0$ for the rotating ray
- 2. Compute and rank the polar coordinates (θ, ρ) of each point
- 3. Assign customers to vehicles until capacity not exceeded. If needed start a new route. Repeat until all customers scheduled.



Cluster-first route-second: Generalized-assignment-based algorithm [Fisher & Jaikumur (1981)]

- 1. Choose a j_k at random for each route k
- 2. For each point compute

 $d_{ik} = \min\{c_{0,i} + c_{i,j_k} + c_{j_k,0}, c_{0j_k} + c_{j_k,i} + c_{i,0}\} - (c_{0,j_k} + c_{j_k,0})$

3. Solve GAP with d_{ik} , Q and q_i

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{i} \sum_{j} d_{ij_k} x_{ij_k} \\ & \sum_{i} q_i x_{ij_k} \leq Q \\ & \sum_{j_k} x_{ij_k} \geq 1 \\ & x_{ij_k} \in \{0, 1\} \end{array}$$

Cluster-first route-second: Location based heuristic [Bramel & Simchi-Levi (1995)]

- 1. Determine seeds by solving a capacitated location problem (k-median)
- 2. Assign customers to closest seed

(better performance than insertion and saving heuristics)

Cluster-first route-second: Petal Algorithm

- 1. Construct a subset of feasible routes
- 2. Solve a set partitioning problem

Route-first cluster-second [Beasley, 1983]

- 1. Construct a TSP tour (giant tour) over all customers
- 2. Split the giant tour. Idea:
 - Choose an arbitrary orientation of the TSP;
 - Partition the tour according to capacity constraint;
 - Repeat for several orientations and select the best

Alternatively: use the optimal split algorithm of next slide.

(not very competitive if alone but competitive if inside an Evolutionary Algorithm [Prins, 2004])

From the TSP tour,



Assume Q = 10

► construct an auxiliary graph H = (X, A, Z). X = {0,..., n}, A = {(i,j) | i < j trip visiting customers i + 1 to j is feasible in terms of capacity},</p>
T = 0

 $z_{ij} = c_{0,i+1} + l_{i+1,j} + c_{0j}$, where $l_{i+1,j}$ is the cost of traveling from i + 1 to j in the TSP tour.



One arc *ab* with weight 55 for the trip (0, a, b, 0)



- ► An optimal CVRP solution given the tour corresponds to a min-cost path from 0 to n in H. Computed in O(n) since H is circuitless.
- The resulting CVRP solution with three routes



Exercise

Which heuristics can be used to minimize K and which ones need to have K fixed a priori?