DM545/DM871 Linear and Integer Programming

Lecture 10 IP Modeling Formulations, Relaxations

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Outline

1. Relaxations

Outline

1. Relaxations

Optimality and Relaxation

 $z = \max\{c(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n\}$

How can we prove that \mathbf{x}^* is optimal? \overline{z} is UB \underline{z} is LB stop when $\overline{z} - \underline{z} \leq \epsilon$



- Primal bounds (here lower bounds): every feasible solution gives a primal bound may be easy or hard to find, heuristics
- Dual bounds (here upper bounds): Relaxations

Optimality gap (SCIP):

- If primal and dual bound have opposite signs, the gap is "Infinity".
- If primal and dual bound have the same sign, the gap is

 $\frac{|pb-db|}{\min(|pb|,|db|)|}$

decreases monotonously during the solving process.

Proposition

 $(RP) z^{R} = \max\{f(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}\} \text{ is a relaxation of}$ $(IP) z = \max\{c(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}\} \text{ if }:$ $(i) X \subseteq T \text{ or}$ $(ii) f(\mathbf{x}) \ge c(\mathbf{x}) \forall \mathbf{x} \in X$

In other terms:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{T}} f(\mathbf{x}) \geq \begin{cases} \max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{T}} c(\mathbf{x}) \\ \max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} f(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases} \geq \max_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} c(\mathbf{x})$$

- T: candidate solutions;
- $X \subseteq T$ feasible solutions;
- $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq c(\mathbf{x})$

Relaxations

How to construct relaxations?

1. $IP : \max{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}, P = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b}}$ $LP : \max{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in P}$ Better formulations give better bounds $(P_1 \subseteq P_2)$

Proposition

(i) If a relaxation LP is infeasible, the original problem IP is infeasible.

(ii) Let x^* be optimal solution for LP. If $x^* \in X$ and $f(x^*) = c(x^*)$ then x^* is optimal for IP.

2. Combinatorial relaxations to easy problems that can be solved rapidly Eg: TSP to Assignment problem Eg: Symmetric TSP to 1-tree

3. Lagrangian relaxation

IP:
$$z = \max\{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \in X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$
LR: $z(\mathbf{u}) = \max\{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X\}$

 $z(\mathbf{u}) \ge z \qquad \forall \mathbf{u} \ge \mathbf{0}$

4. Duality:

Definition

Two problems:

 $z = \max\{c(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in X\} \qquad w = \min\{w(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{u} \in U\}$

form a weak-dual pair if $c(\mathbf{x}) \le w(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$ and all $\mathbf{u} \in U$. When z = w they form a strong-dual pair

Proposition

 $z = \max{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+}$ and $w^{LP} = \min{\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{b} : A^T \mathbf{u} \geq \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^m_+}$ (ie, dual of linear relaxation) form a weak-dual pair.

Proposition

Let IP and D be weak-dual pair:

(i) If D is unbounded, then IP is infeasible

(ii) If $\mathbf{x}^* \in X$ and $\mathbf{u}^* \in U$ satisfy $c(\mathbf{x}^*) = w(\mathbf{u}^*)$ then \mathbf{x}^* is optimal for IP and \mathbf{u}^* is optimal for D.

The advantage is that we do not need to solve an LP like in the LP relaxation to have a bound, any feasible dual solution gives a bound.

Examples

Weak pairs:

Matching: $z = \max\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} : A \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m\}$ V. Covering: $w = \min\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} : A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$

Proof: consider LP relaxations, then $z \le z^{LP} = w^{LP} \le w$. (strong when graphs are bipartite)

Weak pairs:

- S. Packing: $z = \max\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+\}$
- S. Covering: $w = \min\{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{y} : A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m\}$