

DM87  
SCHEDULING,  
TIMETABLING AND ROUTING

Lecture 9

Single and Parallel Machine Models

Marco Chiarandini

Outline

---

1. Single Machine Models

2. Parallel Machine Models

$1 || \sum w_j C_j$  : weighted shortest processing time first is optimal

$1 | prec | L_{max}$  : backward dynamic programming in  $O(n^2)$  [Lawler, 1973]

$1 || \sum h_j(C_j)$  : dynamic programming in  $O(2^n)$

$1 | s_{jk} | C_{max}$  : in the special case, Gilmore and Gomory algorithm optimal in  $O(n^2)$

$1 || \sum w_j C_j$  : weighted shortest processing time first is optimal

$1 | prec | L_{max}$  : backward dynamic programming in  $O(n^2)$  [Lawler, 1973]

$1 | r_j, (prec) | L_{max}$  branch and bound

$1 || \sum_j U_j$  Moore's algorithm

$1 || \sum w_j T_j$  branch and Bound, Dynasearch

$1 || \sum h_j(C_j)$  : dynamic programming in  $O(2^n)$

$1 | s_{jk} | C_{max}$  : in the special case, Gilmore and Gomory algorithm optimal in  $O(n^2)$

$Pm | prmp | C_{max}$  Linear Programming, dispatching rules

## Outline

### 1. Single Machine Models

### 2. Parallel Machine Models

## $1 | s_{jk} | C_{\max}$

[Makespan with sequence-dependent setup]

Resuming the final algorithm [Gilmore and Gomory, 1964]:

**Step 1:** Arrange  $b_j$  in order of size and renumber jobs so that  $b_j \leq b_{j+1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Step 2:** Arrange  $a_j$  in order of size.

**Step 3:** Define  $\phi$  by  $\phi(j) = k$  where  $k$  is the  $j + 1$ -smallest of the  $a_j$ .

**Step 4:** Compute the interchange costs  $c_{\delta^{j,j+1}}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$

$$c_{\delta^{j,j+1}} = \parallel [b_j, b_{j+1}] \cap [a_{\phi(j)}, a_{\phi(j+1)}] \parallel$$

**Step 5:** While  $G$  has not one single component, Add to  $G_\phi$  the arc of minimum cost  $c(\delta^{j,j+1})$  such that  $j$  and  $j + 1$  are in two different components.

**Step 6:** Divide the arcs selected in Step 5 in Type I and II. Sort Type I in decreasing and Type II increasing order of index. Apply the relative interchanges in the order.

## $1 | r_j | L_{\max}$

[Maximum lateness with release dates]

- ▶ Strongly NP-hard (reduction from 3-partition)
- ▶ might have optimal schedule which is not non-delay
- ▶ **Branch and bound** algorithm (valid also for  $1 | r_j, prec | L_{\max}$ )

- ▶ **Branching:**  
schedule from the beginning (level  $k$ ,  $n!/(k-1)!$  nodes)  
elimination criterion: do not consider job  $j_k$  if:

$$r_j > \min_{t \in J} \{ \max(t, r_t) + p_t \} \quad J \text{ jobs to schedule, } t \text{ current time}$$

- ▶ **Lower bounding:** relaxation to preemptive case for which EDD is optimal

## Branch and Bound

$S$  root of the branching tree

```
1 LIST := {S};
2 U:=value of some heuristic solution;
3 current_best := heuristic solution;
4 while LIST ≠ ∅
5   Choose a branching node k from LIST;
6   Remove k from LIST;
7   Generate children child(i), i = 1, ..., n_k, and calculate corresponding
   lower bounds LB_i;
8   for i:=1 to n_k
9     if LB_i < U then
10      if child(i) consists of a single solution then
11        U:=LB_i;
12        current_best:=solution corresponding to child(i)
13      else add child(i) to LIST
```

[Number of tardy jobs]

- ▶ [Moore, 1968] algorithm in  $O(n \log n)$ 
  - ▶ Add jobs in increasing order of due dates
  - ▶ If inclusion of job  $j^*$  results in this job being completed late discard the scheduled job  $k^*$  with the longest processing time
- ▶  $1 \parallel \sum_j w_j U_j$  is a knapsack problem hence NP-hard

[single-machine total weighted tardiness]

- ▶  $1 \parallel \sum T_j$  is hard in ordinary sense, hence admits a pseudo polynomial algorithm (dynamic programming)
- ▶  $1 \parallel \sum w_j T_j$  strongly NP-hard
  - ▶ branch and bound
  - ▶ time indexed integer program
  - ▶ dynaserach

## Branch and bound

- ▶ **Branching:**
  - ▶ work backward in time
  - ▶ elimination criterion:  
if  $p_j \leq p_k$  and  $d_j \leq d_k$  and  $w_j \geq w_k$  then there is an optimal schedule with  $j$  before  $k$
- ▶ **Lower Bounding:**  
relaxation to preemptive case  
transportation problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{C_{\max}} c_{jt} x_{jt} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{t=1}^{C_{\max}} x_{jt} = p_j, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n \\ & \sum_{j=1}^n x_{jt} \leq 1, \quad \forall t = 1, \dots, C_{\max} \\ & x_{jt} \geq 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n; t = 1, \dots, C_{\max} \end{aligned}$$

[Pan and Shi, 2007]'s lower bounding through time indexed  
Stronger but computationally more expensive

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{T-p_j} h_j(t+p_j) y_{jt} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{t=1}^{T-p_j} y_{jt} = 1, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n \\ & \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=t-p_j+1}^t y_{js} \leq 1, \quad \forall t = 1, \dots, C_{\max} \\ & y_{jt} \geq 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n; t = 1, \dots, C_{\max} \end{aligned}$$

## Dynasearch

- ▶ Two interchanges  $\delta_{jk}$  and  $\delta_{lm}$  are **independent** if  $\max\{j, k\} < \min\{l, m\}$  or  $\min\{l, k\} > \max\{l, m\}$ .
- ▶ The dynasearch neighborhood is obtained by a series of independent interchanges
- ▶ It has size  $2^{n-1} - 1$  but a best move can be found in  $O(n^3)$ .
- ▶ It yields in average better results than the interchange neighborhood alone.
- ▶ Searched by dynamic programming

- ▶ state  $(k, \pi)$
- ▶  $\pi_k$  is the partial sequence at state  $(k, \pi)$  that has  $\min \sum wT$
- ▶  $\pi_k$  is obtained from state  $(i, \pi)$ 

$$\begin{cases} \text{appending job } \pi(k) \text{ after } \pi(i) & i = k - 1 \\ \text{appending job } \pi(k) \text{ and interchanging } \pi(i + 1) \text{ and } \pi(k) & 0 \leq i < k - 1 \end{cases}$$

▶  $F(\pi_0) = 0; \quad F(\pi_1) = w_{\pi(1)} (p_{\pi(1)} - d_{\pi(1)})^+;$

$$F(\pi_k) = \min \begin{cases} F(\pi_{k-1}) + w_{\pi(k)} (C_{\pi(k)} - d_{\pi(k)})^+, \\ \min_{1 \leq i < k-1} \{F(\pi_i) + w_{\pi(k)} (C_{\pi(i)} + p_{\pi(k)} - d_{\pi(k)})^+ + \\ \quad + \sum_{j=i+2}^{k-1} w_{\pi(j)} (C_{\pi(j)} + p_{\pi(k)} - p_{\pi(i+1)} - d_{\pi(j)})^+ + \\ \quad + w_{\pi(i+1)} (C_{\pi(k)} - d_{\pi(i+1)})^+\} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The best choice is computed by recursion in  $O(n^3)$  and the optimal series of interchanges for  $F(\pi_n)$  is found by backtrack.
- ▶ Local search with dynasearch neighborhood starts from an initial sequence, generated by ATC, and at each iteration applies the best dynasearch move, until no improvement is possible (that is,  $F(\pi_n^t) = F(\pi_n^{(t-1)})$ , for iteration  $t$ ).
- ▶ Speedups:
  - ▶ pruning with considerations on  $p_{\pi(k)}$  and  $p_{\pi(i+1)}$
  - ▶ maintaining a string of late, no late jobs
  - ▶  $h_t$  largest index s.t.  $\pi^{(t-1)}(k) = \pi^{(t-2)}(k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, h_t$  then  $F(\pi_k^{(t-1)}) = F(\pi_k^{(t-2)})$  for  $k = 1, \dots, h_t$  and at iter  $t$  no need to consider  $i < h_t$ .

## Dynasearch, refinements:

- ▶ [Grosso et al. 2004] add insertion moves to interchanges.
- ▶ [Ergun and Orlin 2006] show that dynasearch neighborhood can be searched in  $O(n^2)$ .

Single machine, single criterion problems  $1 || \gamma$ :

$C_{\max}$	$\mathcal{P}$
$T_{\max}$	$\mathcal{P}$
$L_{\max}$	$\mathcal{P}$
$h_{\max}$	$\mathcal{P}$
$\sum C_j$	$\mathcal{P}$
$\sum w_j C_j$	$\mathcal{P}$
$\sum U$	$\mathcal{P}$
$\sum w_j U_j$	weakly $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard
$\sum T$	weakly $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard
$\sum w_j T_j$	strongly $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard
$\sum h_j(C_j)$	strongly $\mathcal{NP}$ -hard

### Performance:

- ▶ exact solution via branch and bound feasible up to 40 jobs [Potts and Wassenhove, Oper. Res., 1985]
- ▶ exact solution via time-indexed integer programming formulation used to lower bound in branch and bound solves instances of 100 jobs in 4-9 hours [Pan and Shi, Math. Progm., 2007]
- ▶ dynasearch: results reported for 100 jobs within a 0.005% gap from optimum in less than 3 seconds [Grosso et al., Oper. Res. Lett., 2004]

## Extensions

---

### Non regular objectives

- ▶  $1 | d_j = d | \sum E_j + \sum T_j$
- ▶ In an optimal schedule,
  - ▶ early jobs are scheduled according to LPT
  - ▶ late jobs are scheduled according to SPT

### Multicriteria scheduling

Resolution process and decision maker intervention:

- ▶ a priori methods (definition of weights, importance)
  - ▶ goal programming
  - ▶ weighted sum
  - ▶ ...
- ▶ interactive methods
- ▶ a posteriori methods (Pareto optima)
  - ▶ lexicographic with goals
  - ▶ ...

## Outline

---

1. Single Machine Models

2. Parallel Machine Models

## $P_m || C_{\max}$ (without Preemption)

---

$P_m || C_{\max}$  LPT heuristic, approximation ratio:  $\frac{4}{3} - \frac{1}{3m}$

$P_{\infty} || C_{\max}$  CPM

$P_m | prec | C_{\max}$  strongly NP-hard, LNS heuristic (non optimal)

$P_m | p_j = 1, M_j | C_{\max}$  LFJ-LFM heuristic (if  $M_j$  are nested, then LFJ is optimal)

## $P_m | prmp | C_{\max}$

---

Not NP hard:

▶ Linear Programming,  $x_{ij}$ : time job  $j$  in machine  $i$

▶ Construction based on lower bound

$$LWB = \max \left\{ p_1, \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j}{m} \right\}$$

▶ Dispatching rule: longest remaining processing time (LRPT)  
optimal in discrete time

## $Q_m | prmp | C_{\max}$

---

▶ Construction based on

$$LWB = \max \left\{ \frac{p_1}{v_1}, \frac{p_1 + p_2}{v_1 + v_2}, \dots, \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}{\sum_{j=1}^m v_j} \right\}$$

▶ Dispatching rule: longest remaining processing time on the fastest machine first (processor sharing)  
optimal in discrete time