Course Overview

Problem Introduction

✓ RCPSP

• General Methods

Heuristics

✓ Scheduling classification

Scheduling complexity

• Integer Programming

Branch and Bound

• Constraint Programming

• Dynamic Programming and

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

- Scheduling
 - Single Machine
 - Parallel Machine and Flow Shop Models
 - Job Shop
 - Resource Constrained Project Scheduling Model
- Timetabling
 - Reservations and Education
 - University Timetabling
 - Crew Scheduling
 - Public Transports
- Vechicle Routing
 - Capacited Models
 - Time Windows models
 - Rich Models
 - Constraint Programming Constraint Satisfaction Pr Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

General Purpose Solvers

2

4

DM204, 2010 SCHEDULING, TIMETABLING AND ROUTING

> Lecture 7 **Constraint Programming**

> > Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Outline

Marco Chiarandini

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CF

1. Constraint Programming Constraint Satisfaction Problem General Purpose Solvers

2. Constraint Languages

3. Refinements on CP Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search

Marco Chiarandini .::.

3

Outline

Marco Chiarandini .::.

1. Constraint Programming Constraint Satisfaction Problem General Purpose Solvers

Refinements: Modeling

Constraint Programming

Constraint Programming **Constraint Satisfaction Pr** Constraint Languages General Purpose Solvers Refinements on CP

Overview

Constraint Programming is about a fomrulation of the problem as a constraint satisfaction problem and about solving it by means of general or domain specific methods.

constraint declaration declarative language

(MODEL)

+

(SEARCH) procedural language general purpose constraint solver

Handbook of Constraint Programming. F. Rossi, P. van Beek and T. Walsh (ed.). Handbook of Constraint Programming, Elsevier, 2006

- Constraint Propagation
- Search
- Global Constraints
- Complexity and Tractable Cases
- Soft Constraints
- Symmetries
- Modelling
- Integration with OR
- Continuous and Structured Domains
- Dynamic and Uncertain Environments

Marco Chiarandini .::

Constraint Programming Constraint Language Constraint Satisfaction Problements on Cl

Constraint Satisfaction Pr General Purpose Solvers

Б

Input:

- a set of variables X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n
- each variable has a non-empty domain D_i of possible values
- a set of constraints. Each constraint C_i involves some subset of the variables and specifies the allowed combination of values for that subset.
- [A constraint C on variables X_i and X_i , $C(X_i, X_i)$, defines the subset of the Cartesian product of variable domains $D_i \times D_i$ of the consistent assignments of values to variables. A constraint C on variables X_i, X_i is satisfied by a pair of values v_i , v_j if $(v_i, v_j) \in C(X_i, X_j)$.]
- Task:
 - find an assignment of values to all the variables $\{X_i = v_i, X_j = v_j, \ldots\}$
 - such that it is consistent, that is, it does not violate any constraint

If assignments are not all equally good, but some are preferable this is reflected in an objective function.

Solution Process

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Constraint Programming Constraint Satisfaction Pr General Purpose Solver

6

Standard search problem:

- initial state: the empty assignment {} in which all variables are unassigned
- successor function: a value can be assigned to any unassigned variable. provided that it does not conflict with previous assignments
- goal test: the current assignment is complete

Two fundamental issues:

- exploration of search tree (of depth *n*)
- constraint propagation (via domain filtering algorithm)
 - at every node of the search tree, remove domain values that do not belong to a solution
 - repeat until nothing can be removed anymore

 \sim In CP, we mostly mean complete search but incomplete search is also included.

Constraint Propagation

Constraint Programming **Constraint Satisfaction Pr** Constraint Languages General Purpose Solvers Refinements on CP

Constraint Propagation

Alternative names:

 constraint relaxation filtering algorithms

narrowing algorithms

 constraint inference • simplification inference

local consistency enforcing

• label inference

• rule iteration

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Definition (Domain consistency)

A constraint C on the variables X_1, \ldots, X_k is called domain consistent if for each variable X_i and each value $v_i \in D(X_i)$ (i = 1, ..., k), there exist a value $v_i \in D(X_i)$ for all $j \neq i$ such that $(d_1, \ldots, d_k) \in C$.

- domain consistency = hyper-arc consistency or generalized-arc consistency
- Establishing domain consistency for unary and binary constraints is inexpensive.
- For higher arity constraints the naive approach requires time that is exponential in the number of variables.
- Exploiting underlying structure of a constraint can sometimes lead to establish domain consistency much more efficiently.

Instead a constraint language (constraint logic programming and

NB: if only linear constraints, then integer linear programming

NB: if only linear constraints or convex functions then mathematical

 $S_i + p_i \leq S_k$

Marco Chiarandini .::

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Types of Variables and Values

Constraint Satisfaction Pr General Purpose Solvers Refinements on C

10

Constraint Reasoning

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CF

Constraint Satisfaction Pr General Purpose Solver

11









Redundancy

Simplification

• structured domains (eg. sets, paths, multisets)

• Discrete variables with finite domain: complete enumeration is $O(d^n)$

Discrete variables with infinite domains: Impossible by complete enumeration.

Variables with continuous domains

constraint reasoning) Eg, project planning

branch and reduce

programming

Combination

Types of Constraints

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP Constraint Satisfaction Programming General Purpose Solvers

General Purpose Algorithms

organize and explore the search tree

complete assignments.

in the search tree. The tree has d^n leaves.

variable has no legal values left to assign.

Backtracking search

Search algorithms

- Unary constraints $C(X_i)$
- Binary constraints (constraint graph) $C(X_i, X_j)$
- Higher order (constraint hypergraph) C(X_i,...,X_k) (k arbitrary number)

Global constraints or combinatorial cosntraints ease the task of modelling admit efficient specialized algorithms

Eg, alldifferent(), among(), etc.

- Soft constraints
- Preference constraints cost on individual variable assignments

Marco Chiarandini

Marco Chiarandini .::.

14

Backtrack Search

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Backtrack Search

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Constraint Satisfaction Pr General Purpose Solvers

15

- function BACKTRACKING-SEARCH(csp) returns a solution, or failure
 return RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING({ }, csp)
 function RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(assignment, csp) returns a solution, or failure
 if assignment is complete then return assignment
 var ← SELECT-UNASSIGNED-VARIABLE(VARIABLES[csp], assignment, csp)
 for each value in ORDER-DOMAIN-VALUES(var, assignment, csp) do
 if value is consistent with assignment according to CONSTRAINTS[csp] then
 add {var = value} to assignment
 result ← RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(assignment, csp)
 if result ≠ failure then return result
 remove {var = value} from assignment
 return failure
- No need to copy solutions all the times but rather extensions and undo extensions

• Search tree with branching factor at the top level nd and at the next level (n-1)d. The tree has $n! \cdot d^n$ leves even if only d^n possible

• Insight: CSP is commutative in the order of application of any given set

considering possible assignments for only a single variable at each node

depth first search that chooses one variable at a time and backtracks when a

of action (the order of the assignment does not influence)

• Hence we can consider search algs that generate successors by

- Since CSP is standard then the alg is also standard and can use general purpose algorithms for initial state, successor function and goal test.
- Backtracking is uninformed and complete. Other search algorithms may use information in form of heuristics

General Purpose Backtracking Refinements on CP

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages

Constraint Satisfaction Pr General Purpose Solvers

Search

Implemnetation Refinements

- 1) [Search] Which variable should we assign next, and in what order should its values be tried?
- 2) [Propagation] What are the implications of the current variable assignments for the other unassigned variables?
- 3) [Search] When a path fails that is, a state is reached in which a variable has no legal values can the search avoid repeating this failure in subsequent paths?

1) Which variable should we assign next, and in what order should its values be tried?

 Select-Initial-Unassigned-Variable degree heuristic (reduces the branching factor) also used as tied breaker

• Select-Unassigned-Variable

Most constrained variable (DSATUR) = fail-first heuristic = Minimum remaining values (MRV) heuristic (speeds up pruning)

Order-Domain-Values

least-constraining-value heuristic (leaves maximum flexibility for subsequent variable assignments)

NB: If we search for all the solutions or a solution does not exists, then the ordering does not matter.

Marco Chiarandini .::

Marco Chiarandini .::.

19

Propagation

Constraint Programming Constraint Satisfaction Pr Constraint Languages **General Purpose Solvers** Refinements on CP

Constraint Propagation

Constraint Programming Constraint Satisfaction Pr Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

General Purpose Solvers

20

2) What are the implications of the current variable assignments for the other unassigned variables?

Propagating information through constraints

- Implicit in Select-Unassigned-Variable
- Forward checking (coupled with MRV)
- Constraint propagation (filtering)

- - node consistency
 - arc consistency: force all (directed) arcs *uv* to be consistent: \exists a value in D(v) : \forall values in D(u), otherwise detects inconsistency

can be applied as preprocessing or as propagation step after each assignment (MAC, Maintaining Arc Consistency)

applied repeatedly

• k-consistency: if for any set of k-1 variables, and for any consistent assignment to those variables, a consistent value can always be assigned to any k-th variable.

determining the appropriate level of consistency checking is mostly an empirical science.

Search

Example: Arc Consistency Algorithm AC-3

function AC-3(csp) returns the CSP, possibly with reduced domains inputs: csp, a binary CSP with variables $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$ local variables: queue, a queue of arcs, initially all the arcs in csp

while queue is not empty do $(X_i, X_i) \leftarrow \text{REMOVE-FIRST}(queue)$ if REMOVE-INCONSISTENT-VALUES (X_i, X_i) then for each X_k in NEIGHBORS $[X_i]$ do add (X_k, X_i) to queue

function REMOVE-INCONSISTENT-VALUES (X_i, X_j) returns true iff we remove a value $removed \leftarrow false$ for each x in DOMAIN[X_i] do if no value y in DOMAIN[X_i] allows (x, y) to satisfy the constraint between X_i and X_i then delete x from DOMAIN[X_i]; removed \leftarrow true return removed

3) When a path fails – that is, a state is reached in which a variable has no legal values can the search avoid repeating this failure in subsequent paths?

Backtracking-Search

- chronological backtracking, the most recent decision point is revisited
- backjumping, backtracks to the most recent variable in the conflict set (set of previously assigned variables connected to X by constraints).

every branch pruned by backjumping is also pruned by forward checking idea remains: backtrack to reasons of failure.

Marco Chiarandini .::.

23

An Empirical Comparison

Constraint Programming **Constraint Satisfaction Pr** Constraint Languages **General Purpose Solvers** Refinements on CF

The structure of problems

Constraint Programming **Constraint Languages** Refinements on CF

Constraint Satisfaction Pr General Purpose Solvers

24

- Median number of consistency checks **BT+MRV** Forward Checking FC+MRV Problem Backtracking 2K 60 (> 1.000 K)USA (> 1,000 K)(> 40.000 K)817K (> 40,000 K)13.500K n-Queens 0.5K 35K 1KZebra 3.859K 2K26K 3K Random 1 415K 15K 942K 27K 77K Random 2
- Decomposition in subproblems:
 - connected components in the constraint graph
 - O(d^cn/c) vs O(dⁿ)
- Constraint graphs that are tree are solvable in poly time by reverse arc-consistency checks.
- Reduce constraint graph to tree:
 - removing nodes (cutset conditioning: find the smallest cycle cutset. It is NP-hard but good approximations exist)
 - collapsing nodes (tree decomposition) divide-and-conquer works well with small subproblems

Marco Chiarandini .::

Optimization Problems

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Outline

Objective function to minimize $F(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$

- Solve a modified Constraint Satisfaction Problem by setting an (upper) bound z* in the objective function
- Dichotomic search: U upper bound, L lower bound

$$M = \frac{U+L}{2}$$

- 1. Constraint Programming Constraint Satisfaction Problem General Purpose Solvers
- 2. Constraint Languages
- 3. Refinements on CP Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Marco Chiarandini .::.

27

Constraint Programming Systems on CP

Expressiveness language stream (modelling) + (efficient solvers) Algorithm stream

CP systems typically include

- general purpose algorithms for constraint propagation (arc consistency on finite domains)
- built-in constraint propagation for various constraints (eg, linear, boolean, global constraints)
- built-in for constructing various forms of search

Logic Programming

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Logic programming is the use of mathematical logic for computer programming.

First-order logic is used as a purely declarative representation language, and a theorem-prover or model-generator is used as the problem-solver.

Logic programming supports the notion of logical variables

- Syntax Language
 - Alphabet
 - Well-formed Expressions
 - E.g., 4X + 3Y = 10; 2X Y = 0
- Semantics Meaning
 - Interpretation
 - Logical Consequence
- Calculi Derivation
 - Inference Rule
 - Transition System

28

Logic Programming

between objects.

the program.

Example: Prolog

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Prolog Approach

- Prolog II till Prolog IV [Colmerauer, 1990]
- CHIP V5 [Dincbas, 1988] http://www.cosytec.com (commercial)
- CLP [Van Hentenryck, 1989]
- Ciao Prolog (Free, GPL)
- GNU Prolog (Free, GPL)
- SICStus Prolog
- ECLiPSe [Wallace, Novello, Schimpf, 1997] http://eclipse-clp.org/ (Open Source)
- Mozart programming system based on Oz language (incorporates concurrent constraint programming) http://www.mozart-oz.org/ [Smolka, 1995]

```
Marco Chiarandini ....
```

Constraint Programming

Constraint Languages

Refinements on

Example

The puzzle SEND+MORE = MONEY in ECLiPSe

Sterling and Shapiro: The Art of Prolog, Page 1.

the language. This led to Constraint Logic Programming

:- lib(ic).

```
sendmore(Digits) :-
Digits = [S,E,N,D,M,O,R,Y],
```

% Assign a finite domain with each letter - S, E, N, D, M, O, R, Y -% in the list Digits Digits :: [0..9],

A logic program is a set of axioms, or rules, defining relationships

A program defines a set of consequences, which is its meaning.

A computation of a logic program is a deduction of consequences of

To deal with the other constraints one has to add other constraint solvers to

```
% Constraints
```

```
alldifferent(Digits),
S #\= 0,
M #\= 0,
1000*S + 100*E + 10*N + D
+ 1000*M + 100*0 + 10*R + E
#= 10000*M + 1000*0 + 100*N + 10*E + Y.
```

% Search

labeling(Digits).

31

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Other Approaches

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Libraries:

Constraints are modelled as objects and are manipulated by means of special methods provided by the given class.

- CHOCO (free) http://choco.sourceforge.net/
- Kaolog (commercial) http://www.koalog.com/php/index.php
- ILOG CP Optimizer www.cpoptimizer.ilog.com (ILOG, commercial)
- Gecode (free) www.gecode.org C++, Programming interfaces Java and MiniZinc
- G12 Project http://www.nicta.com.au/research/projects/constraint_ programming_platform

32

Other Approaches

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP



% Model

int: size:

var 0..total: end;

% Example from the MiniZinc paper: % (square) job shop scheduling in MiniZinc

array [1..size,1..size] of int: d;

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Modelling languages:

- OPL [Van Hentenryck, 1999] ILOG CP Optimizer www.cpoptimizer.ilog.com (ILOG, commercial)
- MiniZinc [] (open source, works for various systems, ECLiPSe, Geocode)
- Comet

```
predicate no_overlap(var int:sl, int:dl, var int:s2, int:d2) =
    sl + dl <= s2 \/ s2 + d2 <= sl;
constraint
    forall(i in 1..size) (
        forall(j in 1..size) (s[i,j] + d[i,j] <= s[i,j+1]) /\
        s[i,size] + d[i,size] <= end /\
        forall(j,k in 1..size where j < k) (
            no_overlap(s[j,i], d[j,i], s[k,i], d[k,i])
        );
solve minimize end;
output</pre>
```

% size of problem

% task durations

% total end time

int: total = sum(i,j in 1..size) (d[i,j]); % total duration

array [l..size,l..size] of var O..total: s; % start times

```
[ "jobshop_nxn\n" ] ++
[ "sl0.."] ++ [show(size)] ++ [", 1.."] ++ [show(size)] ++ [ "] = \n [ " ] ++
[show(sli,]]) ++ if j = size then if i = size then " ]\n" else "\n " endif else " " endif | i,j in 1..size];
```

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Marco Chiarandini .::.

35

CP Languages

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Greater expressive power than mathematical programming

- constraints involving disjunction can be represented directly
- constraints can be encapsulated (as predicates) and used in the definition of further constrains

However, CP models can often be translated into MIP model by

- eliminating disjunctions in favor of auxiliary Boolean variables
- unfolding predicates into their definitions

CP Languages

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

- Fundamental difference to LP
 - language has structure (global constraints)
 - different solvers support different constraints
- In its infancy
- Key questions:
 - what level of abstraction?
 - solving approach independent: LP, CP, ...?
 - how to map to different systems?
 - Modelling is very difficult for CP
 - requires lots of knowledge and tinkering

36

Summary

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CF

Outline

Constraint Programming Refinements: Modeling Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Refinements: Search

• Model your problem via Constraint Satisfaction Problem • Decalre Constraints + Program Search Constraint Propagation 3. Refinements on CP Languages Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Marco Chiarandini Marco Chiarandini .::. 39 40 Constraint Programming Refinements: Modeling Constraint Programming Refinements: Modeling Constraint Languages Refinements on CP Constraint Languages Refinements on CP Modelling Refinements: Search A Puzzle Example Refinements: Search SEND + MORE =MONEY • Different views to the problem Two representations • Adding implied constraints • The first yields initially a weaker constraint propagation. The tree has 23 • Auxiliary variables to make it easier to state constraints and improve nodes and the unique solution is found after visiting 19 nodes constraint propagation • The second representation has a tree with 29 nodes and the unique solution is found after visiting 23 nodes However for the puzzle GERALD + DONALD = ROBERT the situation is reverse. The first has 16651 nodes and 13795 visits while the second has 869 nodes

→ Finding the best model is an empirical science

Marco Chiarandini .::. 42

and 791 visits

Guidelines

Rules of thumbs for modelling (to take with a grain of salt):

- use representations that involve less variables and simpler constraints for which constraint propagators are readily available
- use constraint propagation techniques that require less preprocessing (ie, the introduction of auxiliary variables) since they reduce the search space better.

Disjunctive constraints may lead to an inefficient representation since they can generate a large search space.

• use global constraints (see below)

- Backtracking
- Branch and Bound
- Local Search



Incomplete Search

Credit-based search

- Key idea: important decisions are at the top of the tree
- Credit = backtracking steps
- Credit distribution: one half at the best child the other divided among the other children.
- When credits run out follow deterministic best-search
- In addition: allow limited backtracking steps (eg, 5) at the bottom
- Control parameters: initial credit, distribution of credit among the children, amount of local backtracking at bottom.

Marco Chiarandini

Incomplete Search



Constraint Programming

Constraint Languages

Refinements on CP

Constraint Programming

Constraint Languages

Refinements on CP

Refinements: Modeling

Refinements: Modeling

Refinements: Search

Refinements: Search

Incomplete Search

Limited Discrepancy Search (LDS)

- Key observation that often the heuristic used in the search is nearly always correct with just a few exceptions.
- Explore the tree in increasing number of discrepancies, modifications from the heuristic choice.
- Eg: count one discrepancy if second best is chosen count two discrepancies either if third best is chosen or twice the second best is chosen
- Control parameter: the number of discrepancies



Constraint Programming

Constraint Languages

Refinements on CP

50

Local Search for CSP

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Constraint Programming Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search

Refinements: Modeling

Refinements: Search

Barrier Search

- Extension of LDS
- Key idea: we may encounter several, independent problems in our heuristic choice. Each of these problems can be overcome locally with a limited amount of backtracking.
- At each barrier start LDS-based backtracking



- Uses a complete-state formulation: a value assigned to each variable (randomly)
- Changes the value of one variable at a time
- Min-conflicts heuristic is effective particularly when given a good initial state.
- Run-time independent from problem size
- Possible use in online settings in personal assignment: repair the schedule with a minimum number of changes