Course Overview

- Problem Introduction
 - ✓ Scheduling classification
 - Scheduling complexity
 - ✓ RCPSP

• General Methods

- ✓ Integer Programming
- Constraint Programming

Optimization Problems

- Branch and Bound

• Single Machine

Scheduling

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

- Parallel Machine and Flow Shop Models
- Job Shop
- Resource Constrained Project Scheduling Model
- Timetabling
 - Reservations and Education
 - University Timetabling
 - Crew Scheduling
 - Public Transports
- Vechicle Routing
 - Capacited Models
 - Time Windows models
 - Rich Models

2

5

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Objective function to minimize $F(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$

- Solve a modified Constraint Satisfaction Problem by setting an (upper) bound z^* in the objective function
- Dichotomic search: U upper bound, L lower bound

$$M=\frac{U+L}{2}$$

Heuristics

Marco Chiarandini .::.

• Dynamic Programming and

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Outline

1. Constraint Languages

2. Refinements on CP

Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling

DM204, 2010

SCHEDULING, TIMETABLING AND ROUTING

Lecture 8

Constraint Programming (2)

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science

University of Southern Denmark

1. Constraint Languages

Refinements: Modeling

Constraint Programming Systems

Expressiveness language stream (modelling) + (efficient solvers) Algorithm stream

CP systems typically include

- general purpose algorithms for constraint propagation (arc consistency on finite domains)
- built-in constraint propagation for various constraints (eg, linear, boolean, global constraints)
- built-in for constructing various forms of search

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Logic Programming

Logic programming is the use of mathematical logic for computer programming.

First-order logic is used as a purely declarative representation language, and a theorem-prover or model-generator is used as the problem-solver.

Logic programming supports the notion of logical variables

- Syntax Language
 - Alphabet
 - Well-formed Expressions
 - E.g., 4X + 3Y = 10; 2X Y = 0
- Semantics Meaning
 - Interpretation
 - Logical Consequence
- Calculi Derivation
 - Inference Rule
 - Transition System

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Example: Prolog

Logic Programming

Marco Chiarandini .::.

A logic program is a set of axioms, or rules, defining relationships between objects.

A computation of a logic program is a deduction of consequences of the program.

A program defines a set of consequences, which is its meaning.

Sterling and Shapiro: The Art of Prolog, Page 1.

To deal with the other constraints one has to add other constraint solvers to the language. This led to Constraint Logic Programming

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Prolog Approach

- Prolog II till Prolog IV [Colmerauer, 1990]
- CHIP V5 [Dincbas, 1988] http://www.cosytec.com (commercial)
- CLP [Van Hentenryck, 1989]
- Ciao Prolog (Free, GPL)
- GNU Prolog (Free, GPL)
- SICStus Prolog
- ECLiPSe [Wallace, Novello, Schimpf, 1997] http://eclipse-clp.org/ (Open Source)
- Mozart programming system based on Oz language (incorporates concurrent constraint programming) http://www.mozart-oz.org/ [Smolka, 1995]

```
Marco Chiarandini .::.
```

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Other Approaches

Libraries:

Constraints are modelled as objects and are manipulated by means of special methods provided by the given class.

- CHOCO (free) http://choco.sourceforge.net/
- Kaolog (commercial) http://www.koalog.com/php/index.php
- ILOG CP Optimizer www.cpoptimizer.ilog.com (ILOG, commercial)
- Gecode (free) www.gecode.org C++, Programming interfaces Java and MiniZinc
- G12 Project http://www.nicta.com.au/research/projects/constraint_ programming_platform

Example

The puzzle SEND+MORE = MONEY in ECLiPSe

:- lib(ic).

```
sendmore(Digits) :-
Digits = [S,E,N,D,M,O,R,Y],
```

% Assign a finite domain with each letter - S, E, N, D, M, O, R, Y -% in the list Digits Digits :: [0..9],

```
% Constraints
```

```
alldifferent(Digits),

S #\= 0,

M #\= 0,

1000*S + 100*E + 10*N + D

+ 1000*M + 100*0 + 10*R + E

#= 10000*M + 1000*0 + 100*N + 10*E + Y,
```

% Search

10

```
labeling(Digits).
Marco Chiarandini ....
```

Constraint Languages

Refinements on CP

Other Approaches

- Modelling languages:
 - OPL [Van Hentenryck, 1999] ILOG CP Optimizer www.cpoptimizer.ilog.com (ILOG, commercial)
 - MiniZinc [] (open source, works for various systems, ECLiPSe, Geocode)
 - Comet

MiniZinc

% Model

int: size;

constraint

); solve minimize end;

Marco Chiarandini

output

var 0..total: end;

% Example from the MiniZinc paper: % (square) job shop scheduling in MiniZinc

array [l..size,l..size] of int: d;

s1 + d1 <= s2 \/ s2 + d2 <= s1;

s[i,size] + d[i,size] <= end /\ forall(j,k in 1..size where j < k) (

forall(i in 1..size) (

"jobshop_nxn\n"] ++

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

CP Languages

Greater expressive power than mathematical programming

- constraints involving disjunction can be represented directly
- constraints can be encapsulated (as predicates) and used in the definition of further constrains

However, CP models can often be translated into MIP model by

- eliminating disjunctions in favor of auxiliary Boolean variables
- unfolding predicates into their definitions

Marco Chiarandini .::.

Summary

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

14

" endif else " " endif | i.i in 1..sizel:

Constraint Languages

Refinements on CF

- Fundamental difference to LP
 - language has structure (global constraints)

Q______Q

% size of problem

% task durations

% total end time

9<u>.</u>

int: total = sum(i,j in 1..size) (d[i,j]); % total duration

predicate no overlap(var int:sl, int:dl, var int:s2, int:d2) =

forall(j in 1..size-1) (s[i,j] + d[i,j] <= s[i,j+1]) /\

no_overlap(s[j,i], d[j,i], s[k,i], d[k,i])

array [1..size,1..size] of var O..total: s; % start times

• different solvers support different constraints

["\$[1.."] ++ [show(size)] ++ [", 1.."] ++ [show(size)] ++ ["] = \n ["] ++ [show(s[i,j]) ++ if j = size then if i = size then "]\n" else "\n " endif

In its infancy

CP Languages

- Key questions:
 - what level of abstraction?
 - solving approach independent: LP, CP, ...?
 - how to map to different systems?
 - modelling is very difficult for CP
 - requires lots of knowledge and tinkering

- Model your problem via Constraint Satisfaction Problem
- Declare Constraints + Program Search
- Constraint Propagation
- Languages

Outline	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Refineation CP in Scheduling	Modelling	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reiffication CP in Scheduling
 Constraint Languages Refinements on CP Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling 			 Different views to the problem Adding implied constraints Auxiliary variables to make it easier to staconstraint propagation 	ate constraints and	improve
Marco Chiarandini		18	Marco Chiarandini .::.		20
A Puzzle Example	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Scarch Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Refication CP in Scheduling	Guidelines	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling
SEND +	GERALD +				
MORE = MONEY	DONALD = ROBERT		Rules of thumbs for modelling (to take with a grain of salt):		
Two representations			 use representations that involve less variables and simpler constraints for which constraint propagators are readily available 		
• The first yields initially a weaker constraint propagation. The tree has 23 nodes and the unique solution is found after visiting 19 nodes			 use constraint propagation techniques that require less preprocessing (ie, the introduction of auxiliary variables) since they reduce the search space better. 		
 The second representation has a tree with 29 nodes and the unique solution is found after visiting 23 nodes 			Disjunctive constraints may lead to an inefficient representation since they can generate a large search space.		
However for the puzzle GERALD $+$ DONALD $=$ ROBERT the situation is reverse. The first has 16651 nodes and 13795 visits while the second has 869 nodes and 791 visits			 use global constraints (see below) 		

 \rightsquigarrow Finding the best model is an empirical science





bbs(10)

Depth-bounded, then bounded-backtrack search:



dbs(2, bbs(0))

http://4c.ucc.ie/~hsimonis/visualization/techniques/partial_search/main.htm

- at the top of the tree
- Credit = backtracking steps
- Credit distribution: one half at. the best child the other divided among the other children.
- When credits run out follow deterministic best-search
- In addition: allow limited backtracking steps (eg, 5) at the bottom
- Control parameters: initial credit, distribution of credit among the children, amount of local

Marco Chibacktracking at bottom.



Incomplete Search

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling

Barrier Search

- Extension of LDS
- Key idea: we may encounter several, independent problems in our heuristic choice. Each of these problems can be overcome locally with a limited amount of backtracking.
- At each barrier start LDS-based backtracking



Marco Chiarandini .::.

28

Refinements: Modeling

Refinements: Constraints

Refinements: Search

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling

29

- $\operatorname{sum}(x, z, c)$: $z = \sum_i c_i x_i$
- knapsack(x, z, c): min $D(z) \leq \sum_{i} c_i x_i \leq \max D(z)$
- binpacking(x|w, u, k) pack items in k bins such that they do not exceed capacity *u*
- all different $(x) = \{(d_1, \ldots, d_n) | \forall_i d_i \in D(x_i), \forall_{i \neq i} d_i \neq d_i\}$
- $D(x_i), f = d_e$ aka: channeling
- change(x|k, rel) k be the number of times two consecutive variables x_i, x_{i+1} satisfy x_i rel x_{i+1}

Incomplete Search

Limited Discrepancy Search (LDS)

- Key observation that often the heuristic used in the search is nearly always correct with just a few exceptions.
- Explore the tree in increasing number of discrepancies, modifications from the heuristic choice.
- Eg: count one discrepancy if second best is chosen count two discrepancies either if third best is chosen or twice the second best is chosen
- Control parameter: the number of Marco chilisgrepancies

Handling special constraints Higher order constraints

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling

Definition

Global constraints are complex constraints that are taken care of by means of a special purpose algorithm.

Modelling by means of global constraints is more efficient than relying on the general purpose constraint propagator.

Examples:

- alldiff
 - for *m* variables and *n* values cannot be satisfied if m > n,
 - consider first singleton variables
 - propagation based on bipartite matching considerations

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

• $gcc(x_1, ..., x_n, c_{v_1}, ..., c_{v_m}) =$ the number of occurrences of v_j in $d \in D(X)$ is in $D(c_{v_j})$

aka:

cardinality(l, x, u) if there are at least l_i variables in array x that are assigned value v_i and at most up_j variables in array x that are assigned value v_i .

cardinality(x | v, l, u) at least l_j and at most u_j of the variables take the value v_j

among(x|v, l, u) at least l and at most v variables take values in the set v.

```
atmost, atleast among
```

- circuit(x) imposes Hamiltonian cycle on digraph.
- clique(x|G, k) requires that a given graph contain a clique
- conditional(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{C}) between set of constrains $\mathcal{D}\Rightarrow\mathcal{C}$
- cutset(x|G, k) requires that for the set of selected vertices V', the set $V \setminus V'$ induces a subgraph of G that contains no cycles.
- cycle(x|y) select edges such that they form exactly y cycles. directed cycles in a graph.
- diffn((x¹, Δx¹),..., (x^m, Δx^m)) arranges a given set of multidimensional boxes in *n*-space such that they do not overlap

• ... Marco Chiarandini .:: Marco Chiarandini .::. 34 35 Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Search Constraint Languages Constraint Languages Refinements: Constraints Refinements: Constraints Refinements on CP Refinements on CP Symmetry Breaking Symmetry Breaking Reification Reification CP in Scheduling CP in Scheduling cumulative for RCPSP [Aggoun and Beldiceanu, 1993] • S_i starting times of jobs atmost Resource Constraint • *P_i* duration of job • check the sum of minimum values of single domains delete maximum values if not consistent with minimum values of others. • R_i resource consumption • R limit not to be exceeded at any point in time • for large integer values not possible to represent the domain as a set of integers but rather as bounds. Then bounds propagation: Eg. $\operatorname{cumulative}([S_i], [P_i], [R_i], R) :=$ Flight271 \in [0, 165] and Flight272 \in [0, 385] $Flight271 + Flight272 \in [420, 420]$ $\{([s_j], [p_j], [r_j]R) \mid \forall t \sum_{i \mid s_i \leq t \leq s_i + p_i} r_i \leq R\}$ Flight271 \in [35, 165] and Flight272 \in [255, 385] The special purpose algorithm employes the edge-finding technique

Refinements: Modeling

Refinements: Constraints

Refinements: Search

Symmetry Breaking

CP in Scheduling

Reification

Constraint Languages

Refinements on CP

(enforce precedences)

	Global Constraints Catalogue	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling	Kinds of symmetries	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling	
Marce Chiarandini IIII. Marce Chiarandi IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	http://www.emn.fr/x-info/sdemasse/gcca	at/		 Variable symmetry: permuting variables keeps solutions invariant {x_i → v_i} ∈ sol(P) ⇔ {x_{π(i)} → v_i} ∈ sol Value symmetry: permuting values keeps solutions invariant {x_i → v_i} ∈ sol(P) ⇔ {x_i → π(v_i)} ∈ sol Variable/value symmetry: permute both variables and values (eg, sot {x_i → v_i} ∈ sol(P) ⇔ {x_{π(i)} → π(v_i)} ∈ 	riant (eg, N-queens l(P) nt (eg, GCP) ol(P) udoku?) : sol(P))	
SymmetryConstraint Language Refinements on CPRefinements Search Refinements on CPConstraint Modeling Refinements Search Refinements on CPRefinements Refinements Constraint Language Refinements on CPRefinements Refinements Refinements Refinements Refinements Refinements Constraint Language Refinements CP in SchedulingRefinements Refinements Refinements Constraints Refinements Refinements Refinements Constraints Refinements Refinements Constraints Refinements Constraints Refinements Constraints Refinements Constraints Refinements Constraints Refinements Constraints Refinements 	Marco Chiarandini .::.		40	Marco Chiarandini .::.		42	
• inherent in the problem (sudoku, queens) • artefact of the model (order of groups) • How about disjunctive constraints? $A + B = C \lor C = 0$	Symmetry	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling	Constraints are in a big conjunction	Constraint Languages Refinements on CP	Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling	
	inherent in the problem (sudoku, queens)artefact of the model (order of groups)			• How about disjunctive constraints? $A + B = C \lor$	<i>C</i> = 0		
How can we avoid it? or soft constraints?	How can we avoid it?			or soft constraints?			
• by model reformulation (eg, use set variables)	 by model reformulation (eg, use set variables) 			Solution: reify the constraints:			
• by adding constraints to the model (ruling out symmetric solutions) $(A + B = C \Leftrightarrow b_0) \land$ $(C = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_1) \land$	 by adding constraints to the model (ruling out symmetric solutions) 			$(A + B = C \Leftrightarrow \\ (C = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_1)$	$b_0) \land$		
• during search • by dominance detection ($b_0 \lor b_1 \Leftrightarrow true$)	 during search by dominance detection 			$(b_0 \lor b_1 \Leftrightarrow$	> true)		

 $\bullet\,$ These kind of constraints are dealt with in efficient way by the systems

45

• Then if optimization problem (soft constraints) $\Rightarrow \min \sum_i b_i$

43 Marco Chiarandini .::.

Scheduling Models

Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Constraint Languages Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling Refinements on CP

Propagators for Scheduling

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking Reification CP in Scheduling

48

- Variable for start-time of task a start(a)
- Precedence constraint: $start(a) + dur(a) \le start(b)$ (a before b)
- Disjunctive constraint: $start(a) + dur(a) \leq start(b)$ (a before b) or $start(b) + dur(b) \le start(a)$ (b before a) Solved by reification
- Cumulative Constraints (renewable resources) For tasks a and b on resource R use(a) + use(b) < cap(R)or $start(a) + dur(a) \leq start(b)$ or $start(b) + dur(b) \leq start(a)$

Marco Chiarandini

Serialization: ordering of tasks on one machine

- Consider all tasks on one resource
- Deduce their order as much as possible
- Propagators:
 - Timetabling: look at free/used time slots
 - Edge-finding: which task first/last?
 - Not-first / not-last

Marco Chiarandini .::.

47

Refinements: Modeling Refinements: Search

Refinements: Constraints Symmetry Breaking

Reification

CP in Scheduling

Constraint Languages Refinements on CP

Job Shop Problem

• Hard problem!

- 6x6 instance solvable using Gecode
 - disjunction by reification
 - normal branching
- Classic 10x10 instance not solvable using Gecode!
 - specialized propagators (edge-finding) and branchings needed