Course Overview

- Problem Introduction
 - ✓ Scheduling classification
 - Scheduling complexity
 - ✓ RCPSP

General Methods

- ✓ Integer Programming
- ✓ Constraint Programming
- Heuristics
- Dynamic Programming and Branch and Bound

- Scheduling • Single Machine
 - Parallel Machine and Flow Shop Models
 - Job Shop

Construction Heuristics Local Search

- Resource Constrained Project Scheduling Model
- Timetabling
 - Reservations and Education
 - University Timetabling
 - Crew Scheduling
 - Public Transports
- Vechicle Routing
 - Capacited Models
 - Time Windows models
 - Rich Models

Marco Chiarandini .::. 2

Local Search

Construction Heuristics

DM204, 2010 SCHEDULING, TIMETABLING AND ROUTING

Lecture 9

Heuristics

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Outline

1. Construction Heuristics

General Principles Metaheuristics CP like Rollout Beam Search Iterated Greedy GRASP

2. Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representations Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics Min Conflict Heuristic Tabu Search Iterated Local Search

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Introduction

Heuristic methods make use of two search paradigms:

- construction rules (extends partial solutions)
- local search (modifies complete solutions)

These components are problem specific and implement informed search.

They can be improved by use of metaheuristics which are general-purpose guidance criteria for underlying problem specific components.

Final heuristic algorithms are often hybridization of several components.

1. Construction Heuristics

General Principles Metaheuristics CP like Rollout Beam Search Iterated Greedy GRASP

Neighborhood Representations Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Heuristic: a common-sense rule (or set of rules) intended to increase the probability of solving some problem

Construction heuristics

(aka, single pass heuristics, dispatching rules)

They are closely related to tree search techniques but correspond to a single path from root to leaf

- search space = partial candidate solutions
- search step = extension with one or more solution components

Construction Heuristic (CH): $s := \emptyset$ while s is not a complete solution do choose a solution component cadd the solution component to s

Marco Chiarandini .::. 7

Marco Chiarandini .::. 5



Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics **General Principles** Local Search Metaheuristics

Greedy best-first search



- Sometimes greedy heuristics can be proved to be optimal (Minimum Spanning Tree, Single Source Shortest Path, $1 || \sum w_j C_j, 1 || L_{max}$)
- Other times an approximation ratio can be proved

General Principles

Designing heuristics

• Same idea of (variable, value) selection in CP without backtracking

Variable



Designing heuristics

• Same idea of (variable, value) selection in CP without backtracking

Value



• Static vs Dynamic (
red quality, time tradeoff)

Marco Chiarandini .::. 10

Marco Chiarandini .::. 10

General Principles

Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Dispatching Rules in Scheduling

[Appendix C.2 of B1]

	RULE	DATA	OBJECTIVES
Rules Dependent	ERD	ri	Variance in Throughput Times
on Release Dates	EDD	di	Maximum Lateness
and Due Dates	MS	dj	Maximum Lateness
	LPT	Pj	Load Balancing over Parallel Machines
Rules Dependent	SPT	Pi	Sum of Completion Times, WIP
on Processing	WSPT	p_i, w_i	Weighted Sum of Completion Times, WIP
Times	CP	p _i , prec	Makespan
	LNS	p _j , prec	Makespan
	SIRO	-	Ease of Implementation
Miscellaneous	SST	s _{ik}	Makespan and Throughput
	LFJ	М _і	Makespan and Throughput
	SQNO	-	Machine Idleness

Truncated Search

They can be seen as form of Metaheuristics

Bounded-backtrack search:



Depth-bounded, then bounded-backtrack search:

dbs(2, bbs(0))

Limited Discrepancy Search (LDS)



Construction Heuristics General Local Search Metaheu

General Principles Metaheuristics







Rollout Method

(aka, pilot method) [Bertsekas, Tsitsiklis, Cynara, JoH, 1997] Derived from A*

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

- Each candidate solution is a collection of *m* components $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)$.
- Master process adds components sequentially to a partial solution $S_k = (s_1, s_2, \dots s_k)$
- At the *k*-th iteration the master process evaluates seemly feasible components to add based on a look-ahead strategy based on heuristic algorithms.
- The evaluation function $H(S_{k+1})$ is determined by sub-heuristics that complete the solution starting from S_k
- Sub-heuristics are combined in $H(S_{k+1})$ by
 - weighted sum
 - minimal value

Marco Chiarandini .::. 18

General Principles

Metaheuristics

General Principles

Metaheuristics

Beam Search

[Lowerre, Complex System, 1976]

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

Derived from A^* and branch and bound

- maintains a set B of bw (beam width) partial candidate solutions
- at each iteration extend each solution from *B* in *fw* (filter width) possible ways
- \bullet rank each $\mathit{bw} \times \mathit{fw}$ candidate solutions and take the best bw partial solutions
- $\bullet\,$ complete candidate solutions obtained by B are maintained in B_f
- Stop when no partial solution in ${\cal B}$ is to be extended

Rollout Method

Speed-ups:

- halt whenever cost of current partial solution exceeds current upper bound
- evaluate only a fraction of possible components

Marco Chiarandini .::. 19

General Principles

Iterated Greedy

Local Search Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Key idea: use greedy construction

- alternation of Construction and Deconstruction phases
- an acceptance criterion decides whether the search continues from the new or from the old solution.

Iterated Greedy (IG):

determine initial candidate solution swhile termination criterion is not satisfied do r := sgreedily destruct part of sgreedily reconstruct the missing part of sbased on acceptance criterion, keep s or revert to s := r

GRASP

Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP) [Feo and Resende, 1989]

Key Idea: Combine randomized constructive search with subsequent perturbative search.

Motivation:

- Candidate solutions obtained from construction heuristics can often be substantially improved by perturbative search.
- Perturbative search methods typically often require substantially fewer steps to reach high-quality solutions when initialized using greedy constructive search rather than random picking.
- By iterating cycles of constructive + perturbative search, further performance improvements can be achieved.

Marco Chiarandini .::. 23

Construction Heuristics General Principles Local Search Metaheuristics

Restricted candidate lists (RCLs)

- Each step of *constructive search* adds a solution component selected uniformly at random from a restricted candidate list (RCL).
- RCLs are constructed in each step using a *heuristic function* h.
 - RCLs based on cardinality restriction comprise the *k* best-ranked solution components. (*k* is a parameter of the algorithm.)
 - RCLs based on value restriction comprise all solution components *l* for which *h(l)* ≤ *h_{min}* + α · (*h_{max} h_{min}*), where *h_{min}* = minimal value of *h* and *h_{max}* = maximal value of *h* for any *l*. (α is a parameter of the algorithm.)

Greedy Randomized "Adaptive" Search Procedure (GRASP):

While termination criterion is not satisfied:

generate candidate solution s using

subsidiary greedy randomized constructive search

perform subsidiary perturbative search on s

Note:

- Randomization in *constructive search* ensures that a large number of good starting points for *subsidiary perturbative search* is obtained.
- Constructive search in GRASP is 'adaptive' (or dynamic): Heuristic value of solution component to be added to given partial candidate solution *r* may depend on solution components present in *r*.
- Variants of GRASP without perturbative search phase (aka *semi-greedy heuristics*) typically do not reach the performance of GRASP with perturbative search.

Marco Chiarandini .::. 24

Construction Heuristics Local Search Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Outline

1. Construction Heuristics

General Principles Metaheuristics CP like Rollout Beam Search Iterated Greedy GRASP

2. Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representations Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics Min Conflict Heuristic Tabu Search Iterated Local Search

Local Search Paradigm

Components **Beyond Local Optima** Construction Heuristics Search Space Properties Local Search Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Components **Beyond Local Optima** Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search

- search space = complete candidate solutions
- search step = modification of one or more solution components
- iteratively generate and evaluate candidate solutions
 - decision problems: evaluation = test if solution
 - optimization problems: evaluation = check objective function value
- evaluating candidate solutions is typically computationally much cheaper than finding (optimal) solutions

Iterative Improvement (II): determine initial candidate solution swhile s has better neighbors do choose a neighbor s' of s such that f(s') < f(s)s := s'

Start with any complete tour, perform pairwise exchanges



Variants of this approach get within 1% of optimal very quickly with thousands of cities

Marco Chiarandini .::. 31

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Components **Beyond Local Optima** Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

- Current cost 17
- 8 possible successor

Components Beyond Local Optima

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

Marco Chiarandini .::. 30

Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa

Efficient Local Search

Metaheuristics

Example: *n*-queens

Put *n* queens on an $n \times n$ board with no two queens on the same row, column, or diagonal Move a gueen to reduce number of conflicts





Ŵ

h = 0

Almost always solves *n*-queens problems almost instantaneously for very large n, e.g., n = 1 million



Hill-climbing contd.

Components Beyond Local Optima Construction Heuristics Search Space Properties Local Search Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Local Search Algorithm (1)

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

[Hoos and Stützle, 2005]

Useful to think of state space landscape



Random-restart hill climbing overcomes local maxima—trivially complete Random sideways moves Sescape from shoulders Sloop on flat maxima

Marco Chiarandini .::. 34

Local Search Algorithm (2)

Components Beyond Local Optima Construction Heuristics Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Local Search

- set of memory states $M(\pi)$ (may consist of a single state, for LS algorithms that do not use memory)
- initialization function init : $\emptyset \mapsto \mathcal{P}(S(\pi) \times M(\pi))$ (specifies probability distribution over initial search positions and memory states)
- step function step: $S(\pi) \times M(\pi) \mapsto \mathcal{P}(S(\pi) \times M(\pi))$ (maps each search position and memory state onto probability distribution over subsequent, neighboring search positions and memory states)
- termination predicate terminate : $S(\pi) \times M(\pi) \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\{\top, \bot\})$ (determines the termination probability for each search position and memory state)

Given a (combinatorial) optimization problem Π and one of its instances π :

• search space $S(\pi)$

specified by candidate solution representation: discrete structures: sequences, permutations, graphs, partitions (e.g., for Queens: array of column assignment)

Note: solution set $S'(\pi) \subseteq S(\pi)$ (e.g., for Queens: not all assignments satisfy all constraints)

- evaluation function $f(\pi) : S(\pi) \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ (e.g., for Queens: number of offending Queens)
- neighborhood function, $\mathcal{N}(\pi) : S \mapsto 2^{S(\pi)}$ (e.g., for Queens: neighboring variable assignments differ in the value of exactly one queen)

Components

Metaheuristics

Beyond Local Optima

Efficient Local Search

Search Space Properties

Neighborhood Representa

Local Search Algorithm

For given problem instance π :

- search space (solution representation) $S(\pi)$
- neighborhood relation $\mathcal{N}(\pi) \subseteq S(\pi) \times S(\pi)$
- evaluation function $f(\pi) : S \mapsto \mathbf{R}$
- set of memory states $M(\pi)$
- initialization function init : $\emptyset \mapsto \mathcal{P}(S(\pi) \times M(\pi))$
- step function step : $S(\pi) \times M(\pi) \mapsto \mathcal{P}(S(\pi) \times M(\pi))$
- termination predicate terminate : $S(\pi) \times M(\pi) \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\{\top, \bot\})$

Marco Chiarandini .::. 35

LS Algorithm Components

Construction Heuristics Local Search Keighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

LS Algorithm Components

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Search Space

Defined by the solution representation:

- permutations
 - linear (scheduling)
 - circular (TSP)
- arrays (assignment problems: Timetabling)
- sets or lists (partition problems: SCP, VRP)

Neighborhood function $\mathcal{N}(\pi) : S(\pi) \mapsto 2^{S(\pi)}$ Also defined as: $\mathcal{N} : S \times S \to \{T, F\}$ or $\mathcal{N} \subseteq S \times S$

- neighborhood (set) of candidate solution s: $N(s) := \{s' \in S \mid \mathcal{N}(s, s')\}$
- neighborhood size is |N(s)|
- neighborhood is symmetric if: $s' \in N(s) \Rightarrow s \in N(s')$
- neighborhood graph of (S, N, π) is a directed vertex-weighted graph: $G_{\mathcal{N}}(\pi) := (V, A)$ with $V = S(\pi)$ and $(uv) \in A \Leftrightarrow v \in N(u)$ (if symmetric neighborhood \Rightarrow undirected graph)

Note on notation: *N* when set, \mathcal{N} when collection of sets or function

Marco Chiarandini .::. 38

Marco Chiarandini .::. 39

Construction Heuristics Local Search Method Representa Efficient Local Search Metabeuristics

A neighborhood function is also defined by means of an operator.

An operator Δ is a collection of operator functions $\delta: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ such that

 $s' \in N(s) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exists \ \delta \in \Delta, \delta(s) = s'$

Definition

k-exchange neighborhood: candidate solutions s, s' are neighbors iff s differs from s' in at most k solution components

Examples:

- 1-exchange (flip) neighborhood for Queens (solution components = single variable assignments)
- 2-exchange neighborhood for TSP (solution components = edges in given graph)

LS Algorithm Components

Construction Heuristics Local Search Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

5

Search step (or move): pair of search positions s, s' for which s' can be reached from s in one step, *i.e.*, $\mathcal{N}(s, s')$ and $step(\{s, m\}, \{s', m'\}) > 0$ for some memory states $m, m' \in M$.

- Search strategy: specified by init and step function; to some extent independent of problem instance and other components of LS algorithm.
- Search trajectory: finite sequence of search positions < s₀, s₁,..., s_k > such that (s_{i-1}, s_i) is a search step for any i ∈ {1,...,k}
 - random
 - based on evaluation function
 - based on memory

LS Algorithm Components

Construction Heuristics Local Search Meighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metabeuristics

Construction Heuristics Local Search Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Evaluation (or cost) function:

- function $f(\pi) : S(\pi) \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ that maps candidate solutions of a given problem instance π onto real numbers, such that global optima correspond to solutions of π ;
- used for ranking or assessing neighbors of current search position to provide guidance to search process.

Evaluation vs objective functions:

- Evaluation function: part of LS algorithm.
- Objective function: integral part of optimization problem.
- Some LS methods use evaluation functions different from given objective function (*e.g.*, dynamic local search).

Iterative Improvement

- does not use memory
- init: uniform random choice from S
- step: uniform random choice from improving neighbors, *i.e.*, step({s}, {s'}) := 1/|I(s)| if $s' \in I(s)$, and 0 otherwise, where $I(s) := \{s' \in S \mid \mathcal{N}(s, s') \text{ and } f(s') < f(s)\}$
- terminates when no improving neighbor available (to be revisited later)
- different variants through modifications of step function (to be revisited later)

Note: Il is also known as *iterative descent* or *hill-climbing*.

Marco	Chiarandini	 45

Components

Construction Heuristics Local Search Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

There might be more than one neighbor that have better cost.

Pivoting rule decides which to choose:

Best Improvement (aka gradient descent, steepest descent, greedy hill-climbing): Choose maximally improving neighbor, *i.e.*, randomly select from *I**(*s*) := {*s*' ∈ *N*(*s*)|*f*(*s*') = *f**}, where *f** := min{*f*(*s*')|*s*' ∈ *N*(*s*)}.

Note: Requires evaluation of all neighbors in each step.

• First Improvement: Evaluate neighbors in fixed order, choose first improving step encountered.

Note: Can be much more efficient than Best Improvement; order of evaluation can have significant impact on performance.

Definition:

- Local minimum: search position without improving neighbors w.r.t. given evaluation function f and neighborhood N,
 i.e., position s ∈ S such that f(s) ≤ f(s') for all s' ∈ N(s).
- Strict local minimum: search position $s \in S$ such that f(s) < f(s') for all $s' \in N(s)$.
- Local maxima and strict local maxima: defined analogously.

Marco Chiarandini .::. 44

Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa

Efficient Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima

Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

A note on terminology

Components Beyond Local Optima Construction Heuristics Local Search Heighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metabeuristics

Escaping from Local Optima

Construction Heuristics Sear Local Search Neig Effic

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

 $\mathsf{Method} \neq \mathsf{Algorithm}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Heuristic Methods} \equiv \mbox{Metaheuristics} \equiv \mbox{Local Search Methods} \equiv \mbox{Stochastic Local Search Methods} \equiv \mbox{Hybrid Metaheuristics} \end{array}$

Stochastic Local Search (SLS) algorithms allude to:

- Local Search: informed search based on *local* or incomplete knowledge as opposed to systematic search
- Stochastic: use *randomized choices* in generating and modifying candidate solutions. They are introduced whenever it is unknown which deterministic rules are profitable for all the instances of interest.

• Enlarge the neighborhood

- Restart: re-initialize search whenever a local optimum is encountered.
 (Often rather ineffective due to cost of initialization.)
- Non-improving steps: in local optima, allow selection of candidate solutions with equal or worse evaluation function value, *e.g.*, using minimally worsening steps.

(Can lead to long walks in *plateaus, i.e.*, regions of search positions with identical evaluation function.)

Note: None of these mechanisms is guaranteed to always escape effectively from local optima.

Components

Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

Beyond Local Optima

Efficient Local Search

Search Space Properties

Neighborhood Representa

Construction Heuristics Local Search Efficient Local Search Meighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Marco Chiarandini .::. 49

Diversification vs Intensification

- Goal-directed and randomized components of LS strategy need to be balanced carefully.
- Intensification: greedily increase solution quality or probability, *e.g.*, by exploiting the evaluation function.
- Diversification: prevent search stagnation by avoiding search process from getting trapped in confined regions.

Examples:

- Iterative Improvement (II): *intensification* strategy.
- Uninformed Random Walk/Picking (URW/P): diversification strategy.

Balanced combination of intensification and diversification mechanisms forms the basis for advanced LS methods.

Definitions

- Search space *S*
- Neighborhood function $\mathcal{N} : S \subseteq 2^S$
- Evaluation function $f(\pi): S \mapsto \mathbf{R}$
- Problem instance π

Definition:

The **search landscape** L is the vertex-labeled neighborhood graph given by the triplet $\mathcal{L} = (S(\pi), N(\pi), f(\pi))$.

Construction Heuristics Se Local Search Ne Ef

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Search Space Properties

Construction Heuristics Local Search Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Represents Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Components

Marco Chiarandini .::. 56

Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa

Efficient Local Search

Components

Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

The behavior and performance of an LS algorithm on a given problem instance crucially depends on properties of the respective search space.

Simple properties of search space *S*:

- search space size |S|
- reachability: solution *j* is reachable from solution *i* if neighborhood graph has a path from *i* to *j*.
 - strongly connected neighborhood graph
 - weakly optimally connected neighborhood graph

Three different types of solution representations:

- Permutation
 - linear permutation: Single Machine Total Weighted Tardiness Problem
 - circular permutation: Traveling Salesman Problem
- Assignment: CSP, Timetabling

Solution Representations

• Set, Partition: Crew Scheduling, Vehicle Routing

A neighborhood function $\mathcal{N}: S \to S \times S$ is also defined through an operator. An operator Δ is a collection of operator functions $\delta: S \to S$ such that

 $s' \in N(s) \iff \exists \delta \in \Delta \mid \delta(s) = s'$

Marco Chiarandini .::. 58

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Operators for Linear Permutations

Swap operator

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{S}} = \{\delta_{\mathcal{S}}^i | 1 \le i \le n\}$$

$$\delta_{S}^{i}(\pi_{1}\ldots\pi_{i}\pi_{i+1}\ldots\pi_{n})=(\pi_{1}\ldots\pi_{i+1}\pi_{i}\ldots\pi_{n})$$

Interchange operator

 $\Delta_{\mathbf{X}} = \{\delta_{\mathbf{X}}^{ij} | 1 \le i < j \le n\}$

$$\delta_X^{ij}(\pi) = (\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \pi_j \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_{j-1} \pi_i \pi_{j+1} \dots \pi_n)$$

 $(\equiv$ set of all transpositions)

Insert operator

$$\Delta_I = \{\delta_I^{ij} | 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le n, j \ne i\}$$

$$\delta_I^{ij}(\pi) = \begin{cases} (\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_j \pi_i \pi_{j+1} \dots \pi_n) & i < j \\ (\pi_1 \dots \pi_j \pi_i \pi_{j+1} \dots \pi_{i-1} \pi_{i+1} \dots \pi_n) & i > j \end{cases}$$

 $\Pi(n)$ indicates the set all permutations of the numbers $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

(1, 2..., n) is the identity permutation ι .

If $\pi \in \Pi(n)$ and $1 \le i \le n$ then:

- π_i is the element at position i
- $pos_{\pi}(i)$ is the position of element i

Operators for Circular Permutations

Reversal (2-edge-exchange)

$$\Delta_R = \{\delta_R^{ij} | 1 \le i < j \le n\}$$

$$\delta_R^{ij}(\pi) = (\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \pi_j \dots \pi_i \pi_{j+1} \dots \pi_n)$$

Block moves (3-edge-exchange)

$$\Delta_B = \{ \delta_B^{ijk} | 1 \le i < j < k \le n \}$$

$$\delta_B^{ij}(\pi) = (\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \pi_j \dots \pi_k \pi_i \dots \pi_{j-1} \pi_{k+1} \dots \pi_n)$$

Short block move (Or-edge-exchange)

Operators for Partitions or Sets

$$\Delta_{SB} = \{ \delta_{SB}^{ij} | 1 \le i < j \le n \}$$

$$\delta_{SB}^{ij}(\pi) = (\pi_1 \dots \pi_{i-1} \pi_j \pi_{j+1} \pi_{j+2} \pi_i \dots \pi_{j-1} \pi_{j+3} \dots \pi_n)$$

Marco Chiarandini .::. 61

Components

Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

Beyond Local Optima

Efficient Local Search

Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa

Operators for Assignments

An assignment can be represented as a mapping $\sigma : \{X_1 \dots X_n\} \rightarrow \{v : v \in D, |D| = k\}$:

$$\sigma = \{X_i = v_i, X_j = v_j, \ldots\}$$

One-exchange operator

$$\Delta_{1E} = \{ \delta_{1E}^{il} | 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le l \le k \}$$

$$\delta_{1E}^{il}(\sigma) = \{ \sigma : \sigma'(X_i) = v_l \text{ and } \sigma'(X_j) = \sigma(X_j) \ \forall j \ne i \}$$

Two-exchange operator

$$\Delta_{2E} = \{ \delta_{2E}^{ij} | 1 \le i < j \le n \}$$

$$\delta_{2E}^{ij} \{ \sigma : \sigma'(X_i) = \sigma(X_i), \ \sigma'(X_i) = \sigma(X_i) \text{ and } \sigma'(X_l) = \sigma(X_l) \ \forall l \ne i, j \}$$

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

An assignment can be represented as a partition of objects selected and not selected $s : \{X\} \rightarrow \{C, \overline{C}\}$ (it can also be represented by a bit string)

One-addition operator

$$\Delta_{1E} = \{\delta_{1E}^{\mathsf{v}} | \mathsf{v} \in C\}$$

$$\delta_{1E}^{\nu}(s) = \left\{s: C' = C \cup \nu \text{ and } \overline{C}' = \overline{C} \setminus v\right\}$$

One-deletion operator

$$\Delta_{1E} = \{\delta_{1E}^{\mathsf{v}} | \mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\delta_{1E}^{\nu}(s) = \{s: C' = C \setminus \nu \text{ and } \overline{C}' = \overline{C} \cup \nu\}$$

Swap operator

$$\Delta_{1E} = \{\delta_{1E}^{\mathsf{v}} | \mathsf{v} \in \mathsf{C}, \mathsf{u} \in \overline{\mathsf{C}}\}$$

$$\delta_{1E}^{\nu}(s) = \left\{s: C' = C \cup u \setminus v \text{ and } \overline{C}' = \overline{C} \cup v \setminus u\right\}$$
Marco Chiarandini 63

Efficiency vs Effectiveness

The performance of local search is determined by:

- 1. quality of local optima (effectiveness)
- 2. time to reach local optima (efficiency):
 - A_{\cdot} time to move from one solution to the next
 - B. number of solutions to reach local optima

Construction Heuristics Local Search Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics Construction Heuristics Local Search Efficient Local Search Metabeuristics

Construction Heuristics Local Search Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Note:

- Local minima depend on f and neighborhood function \mathcal{N} .
- $\bullet \ Larger \ neighborhoods \ \mathcal N$ induce
 - neighborhood graphs with smaller diameter;
 - fewer local minima.

Ideal case: exact neighborhood, *i.e.*, neighborhood function for which any local optimum is also guaranteed to be a global optimum.

- Typically, exact neighborhoods are too large to be searched effectively (exponential in size of problem instance).
- *But:* exceptions exist, *e.g.*, polynomially searchable neighborhood in Simplex Algorithm for linear programming.

Trade-off (to be assessed experimentally):

- Using larger neighborhoods can improve performance of II (and other LS methods).
- But: time required for determining improving search steps increases with neighborhood size.

Speedups Techniques for Efficient Neighborhood Search

- 1) Incremental updates
- 2) Neighborhood pruning

Marco Chiarandini .::. 67

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Example: Incremental updates for TSP

- solution components = edges of given graph G
- standard 2-exchange neighborhood, *i.e.*, neighboring round trips p, p' differ in two edges
- w(p') := w(p) edges in p but not in p' + edges in p' but not in p

Note: Constant time (4 arithmetic operations), compared to linear time (*n* arithmetic operations for graph with *n* vertices) for computing w(p') from scratch.

Components Beyond Local Optima Construction Heuristics Search Space Properties Local Search Neighborhood Representa

Speedups in Neighborhood Examination

1) Incremental updates (aka delta evaluations)

- Key idea: calculate effects of differences between current search position *s* and neighbors *s'* on evaluation function value.
- Evaluation function values often consist of independent contributions of solution components; hence, f(s) can be efficiently calculated from f(s') by differences between s and s' in terms of solution components.
- Typically crucial for the efficient implementation of II algorithms (and other LS techniques).

Marco Chiarandini .::. 66

Efficient Local Search

Metaheuristics

2) Neighborhood Pruning

- Idea: Reduce size of neighborhoods by excluding neighbors that are likely (or guaranteed) not to yield improvements in *f*.
- **Note:** Crucial for large neighborhoods, but can be also very useful for small neighborhoods (*e.g.*, linear in instance size).

Example: Heuristic candidate lists for the TSP

- Intuition: High-quality solutions likely include short edges.
- Candidate list of vertex v: list of v's nearest neighbors (limited number), sorted according to increasing edge weights.
- Search steps (*e.g.*, 2-exchange moves) always involve edges to elements of candidate lists.
- Significant impact on performance of LS algorithms for the TSP.

Marco Chiarandini .::. 70

Beyond Local Optima

Efficient Local Search

Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa

Components

Metaheuristics

Construction Heuristics

Local Search

Min Conflict Heuristic

procedure MCH (P, maxSteps)

input: CSP instance P, positive integer maxSteps
output: solution of P or "no solution found"

a := randomly chosen assignment of the variables in P;

for step := 1 to maxSteps do

if a satisfies all constraints of P then return a end

x := randomly selected variable from conflict set K(a);

v := randomly selected value from the domain of x such that setting x to v minimises the number of unsatisfied constraints;
a := a with x set to v;

end

return "no solution found" end *MCH*

Min Conflict Heuristic

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

- A variable x is selected uniformly at random from the conflict set K(a)
- A value v is chosen from the domain of x, such that assigning v to x, the number of conflicts is minimized. Break ties at random.

Marco Chiarandini .::. 72

Construction Heuristics Local Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Tabu Search

Key idea: Use aspects of search history (memory) to escape from local minima.

- Associate tabu attributes with candidate solutions or solution components.
- Forbid steps to search positions recently visited by underlying iterative best improvement procedure based on tabu attributes.

Tabu Search (TS):

determine initial candidate solution sWhile *termination criterion* is not satisfied: determine set N' of non-tabu neighbors of schoose a best improving candidate solution s' in N'update tabu attributes based on s's := s' Construction Heuristics Local Search Efficient Local Search Metghborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metabeuristics

Construction Heuristics Local Search

- Non-tabu search positions in *N*(*s*) are called admissible neighbors of *s*.
- After a search step, the current search position or the solution components just added/removed from it are declared tabu for a fixed number of subsequent search steps (tabu tenure).

Note: Performance of Tabu Search depends crucially on

path (admissible neighborhoods too small)

• tt too low \Rightarrow search stagnates due to inability to escape

• tt too high \Rightarrow search becomes ineffective due to overly restricted search

setting of tabu tenure tt:

from local minima:

• Often, an additional aspiration criterion is used: this specifies conditions under which tabu status may be overridden (*e.g.*, if considered step leads to improvement in incumbent solution).

- Crucial for efficient implementation:
 - keep time complexity of search steps minimal by using special data structures, incremental updating and caching mechanism for evaluation function values;
 - efficient determination of tabu status: store for each variable \times the number of the search step when its value was last changed it_x ; \times is tabu if $it - it_x < tt$, where it = current search step number.

Marco Chiarandini .::. 76

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics

Marco Chiarandini .::. 75

Iterated Local Search

Key Idea: Use two types of LS steps:

- subsidiary local search steps for reaching local optima as efficiently as possible (intensification)
- perturbation steps for effectively escaping from local optima (diversification).

Also: Use acceptance criterion to control diversification vs intensification behavior.

Iterated Local Search (ILS):

determine initial candidate solution *s* perform subsidiary local search on *s* While termination criterion is not satisfied:

r := s
perform perturbation on s
perform subsidiary local search on s

based on acceptance criterion, keep s or revert to s := r Construction Heuristics Social Search

Components Beyond Local Optima Search Space Properties Neighborhood Representa Efficient Local Search Metaheuristics