Cryptography, Number Theory, and RSA

Joan Boyar, IMADA, University of Southern Denmark

November/December 2016

Outline

- Symmetric key cryptography
- Public key cryptography
- Introduction to number theory
- RSA
- Digital signatures with RSA
- Combining symmetric and public key systems
- Modular exponentiation
- Greatest common divisor
- Primality testing
- Correctness of RSA

Caesar cipher

Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
D	Е	F	G	Н	ı	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Χ	Υ	Z	Æ	Ø	Å
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
S	Т	U	V	W	Χ	Υ	Z	Æ	Ø	Å	Α	В	С
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	0	1	2

$$E(m) = m + 3 \pmod{29}$$

Symmetric key systems

Suppose the following was encrypted using a Caesar cipher and the Danish alphabet. The key is unknown. What does it say?

ZQOØQOØ, RI.

Symmetric key systems

Suppose the following was encrypted using a Caesar cipher and the Danish alphabet. The key is unknown. What does it say?

ZQOØQOØ, RI.

What does this say about how many keys should be possible?

Symmetric key systems

- Caesar Cipher

- Enigma
- DES
- ► Blowfish
- ► IDEA
- ► Triple DES
- AES

Public key cryptography

```
Bob — 2 kevs -PK_R.SK_R
PK<sub>B</sub> — Bob's public key
SK<sub>B</sub> — Bob's private (secret) key
For Alice to send m to Bob.
Alice computes: c = E(m, PK_B).
To decrypt c, Bob computes:
r = D(c, SK_B).
r = m
It must be "hard" to compute SK_B from PK_B.
```

Introduction to Number Theory

Definition. Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, a > 0. Suppose $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. b = ac. Then a divides b. $a \mid b$. a is a factor of b. b is a multiple of a. $e \not| f$ means e does not divide f.

Theorem. $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

- 1. if a|b and a|c, then a|(b+c)
- 2. if a|b, then $a|bc \ \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}$
- 3. if a|b and b|c, then a|c.

Definition. $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, p > 1. p is *prime* if 1 and p are the only positive integers which divide p. 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, ... p is *composite* if it is not prime. 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, ...

Theorem. $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ \exists unique $q, r, 0 \le r < d$ s.t. a = dq + r

d – divisor

a - dividend

q – quotient

r – remainder = $a \mod d$

Definition. gcd(a, b) = greatest common divisor of a and $b = largest <math>d \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. d|a and d|b

If gcd(a, b) = 1, then a and b are relatively prime.

Definition. $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ — a is congruent to b modulo m if $m \mid (a - b)$.

$$m \mid (a-b) \Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } a = b + km.$$

Theorem.
$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$
 $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$
Then $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$ and $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$.

Proof.(of first)
$$\exists k_1, k_2 \text{ s.t.}$$

 $a = b + k_1 m$ $c = d + k_2 m$
 $a + c = b + k_1 m + d + k_2 m$
 $= b + d + (k_1 + k_2) m$

Definition. $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ — a is congruent to b modulo m if $m \mid (a - b)$.

$$m \mid (a-b) \Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } a = b + km.$$

Examples.

- 1. $15 \equiv 22 \pmod{7}$? $15 = 22 \pmod{7}$?
- 2. $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$? $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$?
- 3. $15 \equiv 37 \pmod{7}$? $15 = 37 \pmod{7}$?
- 4. $58 \equiv 22 \pmod{9}$? $58 = 22 \pmod{9}$?

RSA — a public key system

```
N_A = p_A \cdot q_A, where p_A, q_A prime.

gcd(e_A, (p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)) = 1.

e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}.
```

- $ightharpoonup PK_A = (N_A, e_A)$
- $\blacktriangleright SK_A = (N_A, d_A)$

```
To encrypt: c = E(m, PK_A) = m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}.
To decrypt: r = D(c, PK_A) = c^{d_A} \pmod{N_A}.
r = m.
```

RSA — a public key system

```
N_A = p_A \cdot q_A, where p_A, q_A prime.
gcd(e_A, (p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)) = 1.
e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}.
  \triangleright PK_A = (N_A, e_A)
  \triangleright SK_A = (N_A, d_A)
To encrypt: c = E(m, PK_A) = m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}.
To decrypt: r = D(c, PK_{\Delta}) = c^{d_{\Delta}} \pmod{N_{\Delta}}.
r=m.
Example: p = 5, q = 11, e = 3, d = 27, m = 8.
Then N = 55. e \cdot d = 81. So e \cdot d = 1 \pmod{4 \cdot 10}.
To encrypt m: c = 8^3 \pmod{55} = 17.
To decrypt c: r = 17^{27} \pmod{55} = 8.
```

Digital Signatures with RSA

Suppose Alice wants to sign a document *m* such that:

- No one else could forge her signature
- ▶ It is easy for others to verify her signature

Note m has arbitrary length.

RSA is used on fixed length messages.

Alice uses a cryptographically secure hash function h, such that:

- ▶ For any message m', h(m') has a fixed length (512 bits?)
- ▶ It is "hard" for anyone to find 2 messages (m_1, m_2) such that $h(m_1) = h(m_2)$.

Digital Signatures with RSA

Then Alice "decrypts" h(m) with her secret RSA key (N_A, d_A)

$$s = (h(m))^{d_A} \pmod{N_A}$$

Bob verifies her signature using her public RSA key (N_A, e_A) and h:

$$c = s^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}$$

He accepts if and only if

$$h(m) = c$$

This works because $s^{e_A} \pmod{N_A} =$

$$((h(m))^{d_A})^{e_A} \pmod{N_A} = ((h(m))^{e_A})^{d_A} \pmod{N_A} = h(m).$$

Problem: Public key systems are slow!

Problem: Public key systems are slow!

Solution: Use symmetric key system for large message. Encrypt only session key with public key system.

Problem: Public key systems are slow!

Solution: Use symmetric key system for large message. Encrypt only session key with public key system.

To encrypt a message m to send to Bob:

- Choose a random session key k for a symmetric key system (AES?)
- Encrypt k with Bob's public key Result k_e
- ▶ Encrypt m with k Result m_e
- ightharpoonup Send k_e and m_e to Bob

Problem: Public key systems are slow!

Solution: Use symmetric key system for large message. Encrypt only session key with public key system.

To encrypt a message m to send to Bob:

- Choose a random session key k for a symmetric key system (AES?)
- ▶ Encrypt k with Bob's public key Result k_e
- ▶ Encrypt m with k Result m_e
- ▶ Send k_e and m_e to Bob

How does Bob decrypt? Why is this efficient?

Security of RSA

The primes p_A and q_A are kept secret with d_A .

Suppose Eve can factor N_A .

Then she can find p_A and q_A . From them and e_A , she finds d_A .

Then she can decrypt just like Alice.

Factoring must be hard!

Factoring

Theorem. N composite $\Rightarrow N$ has a prime divisor $\leq \sqrt{N}$ Factor(N)

for i=2 to \sqrt{N} do
 check if i divides N if it does then output (i,N/i)endfor
output -1 if divisor not found

Corollary There is an algorithm for factoring N (or testing primality) which does $O(\sqrt{N})$ tests of divisibility.

Factoring

Check all possible divisors between 2 and \sqrt{N} . Not finished in your grandchildren's life time for N with 1024 bits.

Problem The length of the input is $n = \lceil \log_2(N+1) \rceil$. So the running time is $O(2^{n/2})$ — exponential.

Open Problem Does there exist a polynomial time factoring algorithm?

Use primes which are at least 512 (or 1024) bits long. So $2^{511} \le p_A,\, q_A < 2^{512}$. So $p_A \approx 10^{154}$.

RSA

How do we implement RSA?

We need to find: p_A , q_A , N_A , e_A , d_A . We need to encrypt and decrypt.

We need to encrypt and decrypt: compute $a^k \pmod{n}$.

 $a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 \mod \operatorname{multiplication}$

Modular Exponentiation

```
Theorem. For all nonnegative integers, b, c, m, b \cdot c \pmod{m} = (b \pmod{m}) \cdot (c \pmod{m}) \pmod{m}. Example: a \cdot a^2 \pmod{n} = (a \pmod{n})(a^2 \pmod{n}) \pmod{n}.
```

$$8^{3} \pmod{55} = 8 \cdot 8^{2} \pmod{55}$$

= $8 \cdot 64 \pmod{55}$
= $8 \cdot (9 + 55) \pmod{55}$
= $72 + (8 \cdot 55) \pmod{55}$
= $17 + 55 + (8 \cdot 55) \pmod{55}$
= 17

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 \pmod{mults}
```

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults Guess: k-1 modular multiplications.
```

We need to encrypt and decrypt: compute $a^k \pmod{n}$.

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults Guess: k-1 modular multiplications.
```

This is too many! $e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}$. p_A and q_A have ≥ 512 bits each. So at least one of e_A and d_A has > 512 bits.

To either encrypt or decrypt would need $\geq 2^{511} \approx 10^{154}$ operations (more than number of atoms in the universe).

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults How do you calculate a^4 \pmod{n} in less than 3?
```

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults How do you calculate a^4 \pmod{n} in less than 3? a^4 \pmod{n} \equiv (a^2 \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults
```

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults How do you calculate a^4 \pmod{n} in less than 3? a^4 \pmod{n} \equiv (a^2 \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults In general: a^{2s} \pmod{n}?
```

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults How do you calculate a^4 \pmod{n} in less than 3? a^4 \pmod{n} \equiv (a^2 \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n} - 2 mod mults In general: a^{2s} \pmod{n}? a^{2s} \pmod{n} \equiv (a^s \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n}
```

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 \mod n modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 \mod n mults How do you calculate a^4 \pmod{n} in less than 3? a^4 \pmod{n} \equiv (a^2 \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n} - 2 \mod n mults a^{2s} \pmod{n} \equiv (a^s \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n} In general: a^{2s+1} \pmod{n}?
```

```
a^2 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot a \pmod{n} - 1 \mod n modular multiplication a^3 \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot (a \cdot a \pmod{n}) \pmod{n} - 2 \mod mults How do you calculate a^4 \pmod{n} in less than 3? a^4 \pmod{n} \equiv (a^2 \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n} - 2 \mod mults a^{2s} \pmod{n} \equiv (a^s \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n} a^{2s+1} \pmod{n} \equiv a \cdot ((a^s \pmod{n})^2 \pmod{n}) \pmod{n}
```

Modular Exponentiation

```
\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Exp}(a,k,n) & \left\{ \operatorname{Compute} \ a^k \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n) \ \right\} \\ & \text{if} \ k < 0 \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{report} \ \operatorname{error} \\ & \text{if} \ k = 0 \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{return}(1) \\ & \text{if} \ k = 1 \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{return}(a \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n)) \\ & \text{if} \ k \ \operatorname{is} \ \operatorname{odd} \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{return}(a \cdot \operatorname{Exp}(a,k-1,n) \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n)) \\ & \text{if} \ k \ \operatorname{is} \ \operatorname{even} \ \text{then} \\ & c \leftarrow \operatorname{Exp}(a,k/2,n) \\ & \operatorname{return}((c \cdot c) \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n)) \end{aligned}
```

```
\mathsf{Exp}(a, k, n) \setminus \{\mathsf{Compute}\ a^k \ (\mathsf{mod}\ n)\}
      if k < 0 then report error
      if k = 0 then return(1)
      if k = 1 then return(a (mod n))
      if k is odd then return(a \cdot \mathsf{Exp}(a, k-1, n) \pmod{n})
      if k is even then
             c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(a, k/2, n)
             return((c \cdot c) \pmod{n})
To compute 3^6 \pmod{7}: Exp(3, 6, 7)
c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,3,7)
```

```
\mathsf{Exp}(a, k, n) \setminus \{\mathsf{Compute}\ a^k \ (\mathsf{mod}\ n)\}
       if k < 0 then report error
       if k = 0 then return(1)
       if k = 1 then return(a (mod n))
       if k is odd then return(a \cdot \text{Exp}(a, k-1, n) \pmod{n})
       if k is even then
              c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(a, k/2, n)
              return((c \cdot c) \pmod{n})
To compute 3^6 \pmod{7}: Exp(3, 6, 7)
c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3, 3, 7) \leftarrow 3 \cdot (\mathsf{Exp}(3, 2, 7) \pmod{7})
```

```
\mathsf{Exp}(a, k, n) \quad \{ \mathsf{Compute} \ a^k \ (\mathsf{mod} \ n) \}
       if k < 0 then report error
       if k = 0 then return(1)
       if k = 1 then return(a (mod n))
       if k is odd then return(a \cdot \mathsf{Exp}(a, k-1, n) \pmod{n})
       if k is even then
              c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(a, k/2, n)
              return((c \cdot c) \pmod{n})
To compute 3^6 \pmod{7}: Exp(3, 6, 7)
c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,3,7) \leftarrow 3 \cdot (\mathsf{Exp}(3,2,7)) \pmod{7}
c' \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,1,7)
```

```
\mathsf{Exp}(a, k, n) \quad \{ \mathsf{Compute} \ a^k \ (\mathsf{mod} \ n) \}
       if k < 0 then report error
       if k = 0 then return(1)
       if k = 1 then return(a (mod n))
       if k is odd then return(a \cdot \mathsf{Exp}(a, k-1, n) \pmod{n})
       if k is even then
              c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(a, k/2, n)
              return((c \cdot c) \pmod{n})
To compute 3^6 \pmod{7}: Exp(3, 6, 7)
c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,3,7) \leftarrow 3 \cdot (\mathsf{Exp}(3,2,7)) \pmod{7}
c' \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,1,7) \leftarrow 3
```

```
\mathsf{Exp}(a, k, n) \in \mathsf{Compute}\ a^k \pmod{n}
      if k < 0 then report error
      if k = 0 then return(1)
      if k = 1 then return(a (mod n))
      if k is odd then return(a \cdot \text{Exp}(a, k-1, n) \pmod{n})
      if k is even then
              c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(a, k/2, n)
              return((c \cdot c) \pmod{n})
To compute 3^6 \pmod{7}: Exp(3, 6, 7)
c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,3,7) \leftarrow 3 \cdot (\mathsf{Exp}(3,2,7)) \pmod{7}
c' \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,1,7) \leftarrow 3
\operatorname{Exp}(3,2,7) \pmod{7} \leftarrow 3 \cdot 3 \pmod{7} \leftarrow 2
```

```
\mathsf{Exp}(a, k, n) \setminus \{\mathsf{Compute}\ a^k \ (\mathsf{mod}\ n)\}
       if k < 0 then report error
       if k = 0 then return(1)
       if k = 1 then return(a (mod n))
       if k is odd then return(a \cdot \text{Exp}(a, k-1, n) \pmod{n})
       if k is even then
              c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(a, k/2, n)
              return((c \cdot c) \pmod{n})
To compute 3^6 \pmod{7}: Exp(3, 6, 7)
c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,3,7) \leftarrow 3 \cdot (\mathsf{Exp}(3,2,7)) \pmod{7}
c' \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,1,7) \leftarrow 3
\operatorname{Exp}(3,2,7) \pmod{7} \leftarrow 3 \cdot 3 \pmod{7} \leftarrow 2
c \leftarrow 3 \cdot 2 \pmod{7} \leftarrow 6
```

```
\mathsf{Exp}(a, k, n) \quad \{ \mathsf{Compute} \ a^k \ (\mathsf{mod} \ n) \}
       if k < 0 then report error
       if k = 0 then return(1)
       if k = 1 then return(a (mod n))
       if k is odd then return(a \cdot \mathsf{Exp}(a, k-1, n) \pmod{n})
       if k is even then
               c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(a, k/2, n)
               return((c \cdot c) \pmod{n})
To compute 3^6 \pmod{7}: Exp(3, 6, 7)
c \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,3,7) \leftarrow 3 \cdot (\mathsf{Exp}(3,2,7)) \pmod{7}
c' \leftarrow \mathsf{Exp}(3,1,7) \leftarrow 3
\operatorname{Exp}(3,2,7) \pmod{7} \leftarrow 3 \cdot 3 \pmod{7} \leftarrow 2
c \leftarrow 3 \cdot 2 \pmod{7} \leftarrow 6
\operatorname{Exp}(3,6,7) \leftarrow (6\cdot 6) \pmod{7} \leftarrow 1
```

```
\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Exp}(a,k,n) & \left\{ \operatorname{Compute} \ a^k \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n) \ \right\} \\ & \text{if} \ k < 0 \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{report} \ \operatorname{error} \\ & \text{if} \ k = 0 \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{return}(1) \\ & \text{if} \ k = 1 \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{return}(a \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n)) \\ & \text{if} \ k \ \operatorname{is} \ \operatorname{odd} \ \text{then} \ \operatorname{return}(a \cdot \operatorname{Exp}(a,k-1,n) \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n)) \\ & \text{if} \ k \ \operatorname{is} \ \operatorname{even} \ \text{then} \\ & c \leftarrow \operatorname{Exp}(a,k/2,n) \\ & \operatorname{return}((c \cdot c) \ (\operatorname{mod} \ n)) \end{aligned}
```

How many modular multiplications?

How many modular multiplications?

Divide exponent by 2 every other time. How many times can we do that?

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Exp}(a,k,n) & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & \mathsf{if} \ k < 0 \ \mathsf{then} \ \mathsf{report} \ \mathsf{error} \\ & \mathsf{if} \ k = 0 \ \mathsf{then} \ \mathsf{return}(1) \\ & \mathsf{if} \ k = 1 \ \mathsf{then} \ \mathsf{return}(a \ (\mathsf{mod} \ n)) \\ & \mathsf{if} \ k \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{odd} \ \mathsf{then} \ \mathsf{return}(a \cdot \mathsf{Exp}(a,k-1,n) \ (\mathsf{mod} \ n)) \\ & \mathsf{if} \ k \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{even} \ \mathsf{then} \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &
```

How many modular multiplications?

Divide exponent by 2 every other time. How many times can we do that?

$$\lfloor \log_2(k) \rfloor$$
 So at most $2 \lfloor \log_2(k) \rfloor$ modular multiplications.

RSA — a public key system

```
N_A = p_A \cdot q_A, where p_A, q_A prime.

gcd(e_A, (p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)) = 1.

e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}.
```

- \triangleright $PK_A = (N_A, e_A)$
- \triangleright $SK_A = (N_A, d_A)$

To encrypt: $c = E(m, PK_A) = m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}$. To decrypt: $r = D(c, PK_A) = c^{d_A} \pmod{N_A}$. r = m.

Try using N=35, e=11 to create keys for RSA. What is d? Try d=11 and check it. Encrypt 4. Decrypt the result.

RSA — a public key system

```
N_{\Delta} = p_{\Delta} \cdot q_{\Delta}, where p_{\Delta}, q_{\Delta} prime.
gcd(e_{\Delta},(p_{\Delta}-1)(q_{\Delta}-1))=1.
e_{\Delta} \cdot d_{\Delta} \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_{\Delta} - 1)(q_{\Delta} - 1)}.
   \triangleright PK_{\Delta} = (N_{\Delta}, e_{\Delta})
   \triangleright SK_{\Delta} = (N_{\Delta}, d_{\Delta})
To encrypt: c = E(m, PK_A) = m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}.
To decrypt: r = D(c, PK_A) = c^{d_A} \pmod{N_A}.
r=m.
Try using N = 35, e = 11 to create keys for RSA.
What is d? Try d = 11 and check it.
Encrypt 4. Decrypt the result.
Did you get c = 9? And r = 4?
```

RSA

```
N_A = p_A \cdot q_A, where p_A, q_A prime.

gcd(e_A, (p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)) = 1.

e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}.

PK_A = (N_A, e_A)

SK_A = (N_A, d_A)
```

To encrypt: $c = E(m, PK_A) = m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}$. To decrypt: $r = D(c, PK_A) = c^{d_A} \pmod{N_A}$. r = m.

Greatest Common Divisor

```
We need to find: e_A, d_A.

gcd(e_A, (p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)) = 1.

e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}.
```

Greatest Common Divisor

```
We need to find: e_A, d_A. gcd(e_A, (p_A-1)(q_A-1))=1. e_A\cdot d_A\equiv 1\ (\text{mod}\ (p_A-1)(q_A-1)). Choose random e_A. Check that gcd(e_A, (p_A-1)(q_A-1))=1. Find d_A such that e_A\cdot d_A\equiv 1\ (\text{mod}\ (p_A-1)(q_A-1)).
```

```
Theorem. a, b \in \mathbb{N}. \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z} s.t. sa + tb = \gcd(a, b).
Proof. Let d be the smallest positive integer in
D = \{xa + yb \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
d \in D \implies d = x'a + y'b for some x', y' \in \mathbb{Z}.
gcd(a,b)|a and gcd(a,b)|b, so gcd(a,b)|x'a, gcd(a,b)|y'b, and
gcd(a,b)|(x'a+y'b)=d. We will show that d|gcd(a,b), so
d = \gcd(a, b). Note a \in D.
Suppose a = dq + r with 0 \le r \le d.
           r = a - da
               = a - a(x'a + v'b)
               = (1 - qx')a - (qy')b
 \Rightarrow r \in D
r < d \Rightarrow r = 0 \Rightarrow d \mid a.
Similarly, one can show that d|b.
Therefore, d|gcd(a, b).
```

How do you find d, s and t?

Let
$$d = \gcd(a, b)$$
. Write b as $b = aq + r$ with $0 \le r < a$.
Then, $d|b \Rightarrow d|(aq + r)$.
Also, $d|a \Rightarrow d|(aq) \Rightarrow d|((aq + r) - aq) \Rightarrow d|r$.

Let
$$d'=\gcd(a,b-aq)$$
.
Then, $d'|a \Rightarrow d'|(aq)$
Also, $d'|(b-aq) \Rightarrow d'|((b-aq)+aq) \Rightarrow d'|b$.

Thus, $gcd(a, b) = gcd(a, b \pmod{a})$ = $gcd(b \pmod{a}, a)$. This shows how to reduce to a "simpler" problem and gives us the Extended Euclidean Algorithm.

```
{ Initialize}
         d_0 \leftarrow b s_0 \leftarrow 0 t_0 \leftarrow 1
         d_1 \leftarrow a s_1 \leftarrow 1 t_1 \leftarrow 0
         n \leftarrow 1
{ Compute next d}
while d_n > 0 do
         begin
                   n \leftarrow n + 1
                   { Compute d_n \leftarrow d_{n-2} \pmod{d_{n-1}}}
                   q_n \leftarrow |d_{n-2}/d_{n-1}|
                   d_n \leftarrow d_{n-2} - q_n d_{n-1}
                   s_n \leftarrow s_{n-2} - q_n s_{n-1}
                   t_n \leftarrow t_{n-2} - q_n t_{n-1}
         end
                                       t \leftarrow t_{n-1}
s \leftarrow s_{n-1}
gcd(a, b) \leftarrow d_{n-1}
```

Finding multiplicative inverses modulo *m*:

Given a and m, find x s.t. $a \cdot x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Should also find a k, s.t. ax = 1 + km. So solve for an s in an equation sa + tm = 1.

This can be done if gcd(a, m) = 1. Just use the Extended Euclidean Algorithm.

If the result, s, is negative, add m to s. Now (s - m)a + tm = 1.

Examples

Calculate the following:

- 1. gcd(6,9)
- 2. s and t such that $s \cdot 6 + t \cdot 9 = \gcd(6,9)$
- 3. gcd(15, 23)
- 4. s and t such that $s \cdot 15 + t \cdot 23 = \gcd(15, 23)$

RSA

```
N_A = p_A \cdot q_A, where p_A, q_A prime.

gcd(e_A, (p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)) = 1.

e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}.

PK_A = (N_A, e_A)

SK_A = (N_A, d_A)
```

To encrypt: $c = E(m, PK_A) = m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}$. To decrypt: $r = D(c, PK_A) = c^{d_A} \pmod{N_A}$. r = m.

Primality testing

We need to find: p_A , q_A — large primes.

Choose numbers at random and check if they are prime?

1. How many random integers of length 154 are prime?

1. How many random integers of length 154 are prime?

About $\frac{x}{\ln x}$ numbers < x are prime, so about $\frac{10^{154}}{355}$

So we expect to test about 355 before finding a prime.

(This holds because the expected number of tries until a "success", when the probability of "success" is p, is 1/p.)

1. How many random integers of length 154 are prime?

About
$$\frac{x}{\ln x}$$
 numbers $< x$ are prime, so about $\frac{10^{154}}{355}$

So we expect to test about 355 before finding a prime.

2. How fast can we test if a number is prime?

1. How many random integers of length 154 are prime?

About
$$\frac{x}{\ln x}$$
 numbers $< x$ are prime, so about $\frac{10^{154}}{355}$

So we expect to test about 355 before finding a prime.

2. How fast can we test if a number is prime?

Quite fast, using randomness.

Sieve of Eratosthenes:

Lists:

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

Sieve of Eratosthenes: Lists:

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19

Sieve of Eratosthenes: Lists:

```
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19
5 7 11 13 17 19
```

Sieve of Eratosthenes:

Lists:

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	3		5		7		9		11		13		15		17		19
			5		7				11		13				17		19
					7				11		13				17		19

 10^{154} — more than number of atoms in universe So we cannot even write out this list!

CheckPrime(n)

for i = 2 to n - 1 do check if i divides nif it does then output iendfor output -1 if divisor not found

Check all possible divisors between 2 and n (or \sqrt{n}). Our sun will die before we're done!

Rabin-Miller Primality Testing

In practice, use a randomized primality test.

Miller-Rabin primality test:

Starts with Fermat test:

$$2^{14}$$
 (mod 15) \equiv 4 \neq 1. So 15 is not prime.

Theorem. Suppose p is a prime. Then for all $1 \le a \le p-1$, $a^{p-1} \pmod{p} = 1$.

Rabin-Miller Primality Test

```
Fermat test:
     Prime(n)
     repeat r times
           Choose random a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*
           if a^{n-1} \pmod{n} \not\equiv 1 then return(Composite)
     end repeat
     return(Probably Prime)
Carmichael Numbers Composite n. For all a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*,
a^{n-1} \pmod{n} \equiv 1.
Example: 561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17
If p is prime, \sqrt{1} \pmod{p} = \{1, p - 1\}.
If p has > 1 distinct factors, 1 has at least 4 square roots.
Example: \sqrt{1} \pmod{15} = \{1, 4, 11, 14\}
```

Rabin-Miller Primality Test

Taking square roots of 1 (mod 561):

```
50^{560} \pmod{561} \equiv 1

50^{280} \pmod{561} \equiv 1

50^{140} \pmod{561} \equiv 1

50^{70} \pmod{561} \equiv 1

50^{35} \pmod{561} \equiv 560

2^{560} \pmod{561} \equiv 1

2^{280} \pmod{561} \equiv 1

2^{140} \pmod{561} \equiv 67
```

2 is a witness that 561 is composite.

Rabin-Miller Primality Test

```
Miller-Rabin(n, k)
Calculate odd m such that n-1=2^s \cdot m
repeat k times
     Choose random a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*
     if a^{n-1} \pmod{n} \not\equiv 1 then return(Composite)
     if a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n} \equiv n-1 then continue
     if a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n} \not\equiv 1 then return(Composite)
     if a^{(n-1)/4} (mod n) \equiv n-1 then continue
     if a^{(n-1)/4} \pmod{n} \not\equiv 1 then return(Composite)
     if a^m \pmod{n} \equiv n-1 then continue
     if a^m \pmod{n} \not\equiv 1 then return(Composite)
end repeat
return(Probably Prime)
```

Conclusions about primality testing

- 1. Miller-Rabin is a practical primality test
- 2. There is a less practical deterministic primality test
- 3. Randomized algorithms are useful in practice
- 4. Algebra is used in primality testing
- 5. Number theory is not useless

Why does RSA work?

Thm (The Chinese Remainder Theorem) Let $m_1, m_2, ..., m_k$ be pairwise relatively prime. For any integers $x_1, x_2, ..., x_k$, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $x \equiv x_i \pmod{m_i}$ for $1 \le i \le k$, and this integer is uniquely determined modulo the product $m = m_1 m_2 ... m_k$.

Fermat's Little Theorem

Why does RSA work? CRT +

Fermat's Little Theorem: p is a prime, $p \not| a$. Then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

RSA

```
N_A = p_A \cdot q_A, where p_A, q_A prime.

gcd(e_A, (p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)) = 1.

e_A \cdot d_A \equiv 1 \pmod{(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1)}.

PK_A = (N_A, e_A)

SK_A = (N_A, d_A)
```

To encrypt: $c = E(m, PK_A) = m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A}$. To decrypt: $r = D(c, PK_A) = c^{d_A} \pmod{N_A}$. r = m.

Correctness of RSA

```
Consider x = D(E(m, PK_A), SK_A).
Note \exists k \text{ s.t. } e_A d_A = 1 + k(p_A - 1)(q_A - 1).
x \equiv (m^{e_A} \pmod{N_A})^{d_A} \pmod{N_A} \equiv m^{e_A d_A} \equiv
m^{1+k(p_A-1)(q_A-1)} \pmod{N_A}.
Consider x \pmod{p_A}.
x \equiv m^{1+k(p_A-1)(q_A-1)} \equiv m \cdot (m^{(p_A-1)})^{k(q_A-1)} \equiv m \cdot 1^{k(q_A-1)} \equiv
m \pmod{p_{\Delta}}.
Consider x \pmod{q_A}.
x \equiv m^{1+k(p_A-1)(q_A-1)} \equiv m \cdot (m^{(q_A-1)})^{k(p_A-1)} \equiv m \cdot 1^{k(p_A-1)} \equiv
m \pmod{q_A}.
Apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem:
gcd(p_A, q_A) = 1, \Rightarrow x \equiv m \pmod{N_A}.
So D(E(m, PK_A), SK_A) = m.
```