## All-Pairs-Shortest-Path via Modified Matrix Multiplication

Rolf Fagerberg

November 29, 2025

This is a short note proving the following version of a theorem from the slides:

**Theorem.** If G is a weighted graph with no negative cycles, W is its edge weight matrix, k is a positive integer, and matrix multiplication denotes minplus matrix multiplication, then the ij-th entry of  $W^k$  is the length of the shortest path from node i to node j that uses at most k edges.

*Proof.* Recall that the edge weight matrix W is defined by its ij-th entry  $w_{ij}$  being<sup>1</sup>

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \text{weight of edge } (i,j) & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and edge } (i,j) \text{ exists} \\ \infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let  $d_{ij}^k$  be the length of the shortest path from node i to node j that uses at most k edges. We first remark that since there are no negative cycles, no path from a node i to itself can be shorter than the path with zero edges (which is defined to have length zero). Thus,  $d_{ii}^k = 0$  for all i and k.

We now prove the theorem by induction on k. The basis is k=1, where  $W^k=W^1=W$  and our task is to prove  $w_{ij}=d^1_{ij}$ . For i=j, we have  $d^1_{ij}=0$  by the above remark, hence  $w_{ij}=d^1_{ij}$  by the first line in the definition of W. For  $i\neq j$ , any path from node i to node j must contain at least one edge. Since k=1, we are only considering paths with at most one edge. Thus,  $w_{ij}=d^1_{ij}$ , by the second and third lines in the definition of W.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In shortests paths, the absence of a path is denoted by the distance  $\infty$ . How the value  $\infty$  works with respect to addition and comparison to other values is described e.g. on page 2 in David R. Wilkins' notes [1].

For the inductive step, assume the statement holds for some k. We are to prove it for k+1. Let  $b_{ij}$  denote the ij-th entry of  $W^k$  and let  $c_{ij} = \min_{l} \{w_{il} + b_{lj}\}$  be the ij-th entry of  $W^{k+1} = W \cdot W^k$ , as defined by min-plus matrix multiplication. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis we know that  $b_{ij} = d^k_{ij}$  and our task is to prove  $c_{ij} = d^{k+1}_{ij}$ . We will do this by proving first  $d^{k+1}_{ij} \leq c_{ij}$  and then  $d^{k+1}_{ij} \geq c_{ij}$ .

Consider any node l. If  $w_{il} < \infty$  and  $b_{lj} < \infty$ , the value  $w_{il} + b_{lj} = d_{il}^1 + d_{il}^k$  is the length of a path constructed by first following a shortest path from node i to node l using at most one edge and then following a shortest path from node l to node j using at most k edges. This path has at most k+1 edges, hence it cannot be shorter than the shortest path from node i to node j using at most k+1 edges. In other words,  $d_{ij}^{k+1} \le w_{il} + b_{lj}$ . If  $w_{il} = \infty$  or  $b_{lj} = \infty$ , then  $w_{il} + b_{lj} = \infty$ , hence  $d_{ij}^{k+1} \le w_{il} + b_{lj}$  also in this case. We can conclude  $d_{ij}^{k+1} \le \min_{l} \{w_{il} + b_{lj}\} = c_{ij}$ , as we have proven  $d_{ij}^{k+1}$  smaller than or equal to all the numbers that we minimize over.

Conversely, consider a shortest path P from node i to node j using at most k+1 edges. If no such path exist at all,  $d_{ij}^{k+1} = \infty$ , hence  $d_{ij}^{k+1} \geq c_{ij}$ . Otherwise,  $d_{ij}^{k+1}$  is the length of P. In case  $i \neq j$ , P uses at least one edge. We can then consider P composed of a path of one edge from node i to the first node i plus the rest, which is a path of at most i edges from node i to node i. Hence, the length of i cannot be smaller than  $d_{il}^{i} + d_{lj}^{k}$ , so we have  $d_{ij}^{k+1} \geq d_{il}^{i} + d_{lj}^{k} = w_{il} + b_{lj}$ . In case i = j, we know (see remark in the beginning) that  $d_{ii}^{k+1} = 0 = 0 + 0 = d_{ii}^{1} + d_{ii}^{k} = w_{ii} + b_{ii}$ . In both cases, we can conclude  $d_{ij}^{k+1} \geq \min_{l} \{w_{il} + b_{lj}\} = c_{ij}$ , as we have proven  $d_{ij}^{k+1}$  larger than or equal to one of the numbers that we minimize over.

## References

[1] David R. Wilkins. The extended real number system. https://www.maths.tcd.ie/~dwilkins/Courses/221/Extended.pdf, 2007. Lecture notes.