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Permutation Flow Shop:
- Directed graph representation and $C_{max}$ computation
- Johnson’s rule for $F_2 | | C_{max}$
- Construction heuristics:
  - Slope heuristic
  - Campbell, Dudeck and Smith’s heuristic
  - Nawasz, Enscore and Ham’s heuristic
1. Flow Shop

2. Job Shop

**Outline**

- [Job shop makespan]
  - Given:
    - \( J = \{1, \ldots, N\} \) set of jobs
    - \( M = \{1, \ldots, m\} \) set of machines
    - \( J_i = \{O_{ij} \mid i = 1, \ldots, n_i\} \) set of operations for each job
    - \( O_{1j} \rightarrow O_{2j} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow O_{n_{ij}} \) precedences (without loss of generality)
    - \( p_{ij} \) processing times of operations \( O_{ij} \)
    - \( \mu_{ij} \in \{M_1, \ldots, M_m\} \) with \( \mu_{ij} \neq \mu_{i+1,j} \) eligibility for each operations (one machine per operation)
    - without repetition and with unlimited buffers

**Task:**

- Find a schedule \( S = (S_{ij}) \), indicating the starting times of \( O_{ij} \), such that:
  - it is feasible, that is,
    - \( S_{ij} + p_{ij} \leq S_{i+1,j} \) for all \( O_{ij} \rightarrow O_{i+1,j} \)
    - \( S_{ij} + p_{ij} \leq S_{uv} \) or \( S_{uv} + p_{uv} \leq S_{ij} \) for all operations with \( \mu_{ij} = \mu_{uv} \).
  - and has minimum makespan.

A schedule can be also represented by an \( m \)-tuple \( \pi = (\pi^1, \pi^2, \ldots, \pi^m) \) where \( \pi^i \) defines the processing order on machine \( i \).

Then a semi-active schedule is found by computing the feasible earliest start time for each operation in \( \pi \).
Often simplified notation: \( N = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) denotes the set of operations

- **Disjunctive graph** representation: \( G = (N, A, E) \)
  - vertices \( N \): operations with two dummy operations 0 and \( n+1 \) denoting "start" and "finish".
  - directed arcs \( A \), conjunctions
  - undirected arcs \( E \), disjunctions
  - length of \((i,j)\) in \( A \) is \( p_i \)

- A complete selection corresponds to choosing one direction for each arc of \( E \).

- A complete selection that makes \( D \) acyclic corresponds to a feasible schedule and is called **consistent**.

- Complete, consistent selection \( \equiv \) semi-active schedule (feasible earliest start schedule).

- Length of **longest path** \( 0-\(n+1\) \) in \( D \) corresponds to the makespan

**Longest path computation**

In an acyclic digraph:
- construct topological ordering \((i < j \forall i \rightarrow j \in A)\)
- recursion:

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_0 &= 0 \\
  r_l &= \max \left\{ r_j + p_j \mid j \rightarrow l \in A \right\} \text{ for } l = 1, \ldots, n + 1
\end{align*}
\]

- A **block** is a maximal sequence of adjacent critical operations processed on the same machine.

- In the Fig. below: \( B_1 = \{4, 1, 8\} \) and \( B_2 = \{9, 3\} \)

- Any operation, \( u \), has two immediate predecessors and successors:
  - its job predecessor \( JP(u) \) and successor \( JS(u) \)
  - its machine predecessor \( MP(u) \) and successor \( MS(u) \)
Exact methods

- Disjunctive programming

\[
\begin{align*}
\min & \quad C_{\text{max}} \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad x_{ij} + p_{ij} \leq C_{\text{max}} \quad \forall O_{ij} \in N \\
& \quad x_{ij} + p_{ij} \leq x_{lj} \quad \forall (O_{ij}, O_{lj}) \in A \\
& \quad x_{ij} + p_{ij} \leq x_{ik} \lor x_{ij} + p_{ij} \leq x_{ik} \quad \forall \ i = 1, \ldots, m \ j = 1, \ldots, N
\end{align*}
\]

- Constraint Programming

- Branch and Bound [Carlier and Pinson, 1983]

Typically unable to schedule optimally more than 10 jobs on 10 machines. Best result is around 250 operations.

Shifting Bottleneck Heuristic

- A complete selection is made by the union of selections \( S_k \) for each clique \( E_k \) that corresponds to machines.

- Idea: use a priority rule for ordering the machines, chose each time the bottleneck machine and schedule jobs on that machine.

- Measure bottleneck quality of a machine \( k \) by finding optimal schedule to a certain single machine problem.

- Critical machine, if at least one of its arcs is on the critical path.

\[ M_0 \subset M \quad \text{set of machines already sequenced.} \]
\[ k \in M \setminus M_0 \]
\[ P(k, M_0) \quad \text{is problem} \ 1|r_j|L_{\text{max}} \quad \text{obtained by:} \]
- the selections in \( M_0 \)
- removing any disjunctive arc in \( p \in M \setminus M_0 \)
- \( v(k, M_0) \) is the optimum of \( P(k, M_0) \)
- bottleneck \( m = \arg \max_{k \in M \setminus M_0} \{v(k, M_0)\} \)
- \( M_0 = \emptyset \)

**Step 1:** Identify bottleneck \( m \) among \( k \in M \setminus M_0 \) and sequence it optimally. Set \( M_0 \leftarrow M_0 \cup \{m\} \)

**Step 2:** Reoptimize the sequence of each critical machine \( k \in M_0 \) in turn: set \( M'_0 = M_0 - \{k\} \) and solve \( P(k, M'_0) \).

Stop if \( M_0 = M \) otherwise Step 1.

- Local Reoptimization Procedure

Construction of \( P(k, M_0) \)

\[ 1|r_j|L_{\text{max}}: \]
- \( r_j = L(0, j) \)
- \( d_j = L(0, n) - L(j, n) + p_j \)

\( L(i, j) \) length of longest path in \( G \): Computable in \( O(n) \).

An acyclic complete directed graph is the transitive closure of its unique directed Hamilton path.

Hence, only predecessors and successor are to be checked.

The graph is not constructed explicitly, but by maintaining a list of jobs per machines and a list machines per jobs.

\[ 1|r_j|L_{\text{max}} \] can be solved optimally very efficiently.

Results reported up to 1000 jobs.
From Lecture 9

\[ 1 | r_j | L_{\text{max}} \]

[Maximum lateness with release dates]

- Strongly NP-hard (reduction from 3-partition)
- might have optimal schedule which is not non-delay
- Branch and bound algorithm (valid also for \( 1 | r_j, \text{prec} | L_{\text{max}} \))
  - Branching:
    schedule from the beginning (level \( k, n!/(k-1)! \) nodes)
    elimination criterion: do not consider job \( j_k \) if:
    \[
    r_j > \min_{l \in I} \{ \max \{ t, r_l \} + p_l \}
    \]
    \( J \) jobs to schedule, \( t \) current time
  - Lower bounding: relaxation to preemptive case for which EDD is optimal

Tabu Search for Job Shop

Neighborhoods
Change the orientation of certain disjunctive arcs of the current complete selection

Issues:

1. Can it be decided easily if the new disjunctive graph \( G(S') \) is acyclic?
2. Can the neighborhood selection \( S' \) improve the makespan?
3. Is the neighborhood connected?

Swap Neighborhood \([\text{Novicki, Smutnicki}]\)
Reverse one oriented disjunctive arc \((i, j)\) on some critical path.

**Theorem:** All neighbors are consistent selections.

**Note:** If the neighborhood is empty then there are no disjunctive arcs, nothing can be improved and the schedule is already optimal.

**Theorem:** The swap neighborhood is connected.

Insertion Neighborhood \([\text{Balas, Vazacopoulos, 1998}]\)
For some nodes \( u, v \) in the critical path:

- move \( u \) right after \( v \) (forward insert)
- move \( v \) right before \( u \) (backward insert)

**Theorem:** If a critical path containing \( u \) and \( v \) also contain \( JS(v) \) and

\[
L(v, n) \geq L(JS(u), n)
\]

then a forward insert of \( u \) after \( v \) yields an acyclic complete selection.

**Theorem:** If a critical path containing \( u \) and \( v \) also contain \( JS(v) \) and

\[
L(0, u) + p_u \geq L(0, JP(v)) + p_{JP(v)}
\]

then a backward insert of \( v \) before \( u \) yields an acyclic complete selection.
Theorem: (Elimination criterion) If $C_{\text{max}}(S') < C_{\text{max}}(S)$ then at least one operation of a machine block $B$ on the critical path has to be processed before the first or after the last operation of $B$.

- Swap neighborhood can be restricted to first and last operations in the block
- Insert neighborhood can be restricted to moves similar to those saw for the flow shop. [Grabowski, Wodecki]

Tabu Search requires a best improvement strategy hence the neighborhood must be search very fast.

Neighbor evaluation:
- exact recomputation of the makespan $O(n)$
- approximate evaluation (rather involved procedure but much faster and effective in practice)

The implementation of Tabu Search follows the one saw for flow shop.